arXiv:1702.00883v1 [math.DG] 3 Feb 2017

EQUIVARIANT CR MINIMAL IMMERSIONS FROM S^3 INTO $\mathbb{C}P^n$

ZEJUN HU, JIABIN YIN AND ZHENQI LI

Abstract. The equivariant CR minimal immersions from the round 3-sphere S^3 into the complex projective space $\mathbb{C}P^n$ have been classified by the third author explicitly (J London Math Soc 68:223-240, 2003). In this paper, with an in-depth investigation of the equivariant property, a new classification is established for equivariant CR minimal immersions from the 3-sphere S^3 into $\mathbb{C}P^n$ without the assumption of constant sectional curvatures.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathbb{C}P^n$ denote the n-dimensional complex projective space with almost complex structure J and constant holomorphic sectional curvature 4. It is known that there are considerable researches for the submanifolds of $\mathbb{C}P^n$, e.g. we would mention the study of its holomorphic, or (parallel) Lagrangian submanifolds in [4, 5, 17, 18, 19, 20], among a great many others. In particular, the interesting and important article of Bolton-Jensen-Rigoli-Woodward [2], which, by drawing upon Wolfson's notion of a harmonic sequence and also exhibiting a number of prominent features of the related foundational work of Boruvka, Calabi, Chern, Eells, Wood and Lawson (see references as cited therein), had deeply investigated the conformal minimal immersions of the 2-sphere S^2 into $\mathbb{C}P^n$ with constant curvature and constant Kähler angle. As a matter of fact, the paper [2] had brought enormous impact for subsequent research.

To extend the research of [2], and as a counterpart of Mashimo [15] studying the immersions from S^3 into S^n, a variety of immersions of S^3 into $\mathbb{C}P^n$ have been considered. For related references we refer to [3, 6, 7, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14], among which the paper of the third author [10] is of fundamental importance for us.

Following [1], an immersed submanifold $\varphi\colon M \to \mathbb{C}P^n$ is called a *CR-submanifold* if TM can be decomposed into an orthogonal direct sum $TM = V_1 \oplus V_2$ such that $JV_1 \subset T^\perp M$ and $JV_2 = V_2$. In this case the immersion φ is said to be *CR type*.

Recall that $S^3 = \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 | z\bar{z} + w\bar{w} = 1\}$ can be identified with the Lie group $SU(2)$ in a standard way. By definition in [10], a mapping $\varphi\colon S^3 = SU(2) \to \mathbb{C}P^n$ is said to be *equivariant* if φ is compatible with the structure of Lie group $SU(2)$. For details see Sect. 3.

In [10], among many others, the third author studied equivariant CR minimal S^3 immersed in $\mathbb{C}P^n$ with constant sectional curvature c (Theorem 6.1 in [10]). It was

Key words and phrases. Complex projective space, equivariant immersion, minimal immersion, CR type immersion, Berger sphere.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 53C24; Secondary 53C42, 53C55.

The first two authors were supported by grants of NSFC-11371330 and the third author was supported by grants of NSFC-11361041.

proved that if such an immersion $\varphi\colon S^3 \to \mathbb{C}P^n$ is linearly full, then $n = 2m^2-3$ for some integer $m \geq 2$ and $c = 1/(m^2-1)$. Moreover, up to an isometry of S^3 and a holomorphic isometry of $\mathbb{C}P^n$, the immersion φ is exactly the immersion defined in Example 4.4 of [10]. In [11], Li and Huang made an interesting advance by showing that any minimal CR 3-sphere in $\mathbb{C}P^n$ is actually equivariant if the induced metric of S^3 has constant sectional curvature.

In this paper, following closely [10], we continue with the study of equivariant CR minimal immersion from S^3 into $\mathbb{C}P^n$ but without the assumption that the induced metric on S^3 has constant sectional curvatures. By an in-depth investigation of the equivariant condition, we can finally overcome the difficulty brought by the missing of constant sectional curvatures condition to achieve the goal of a new classification.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2, we consider the left-invariant metric ds^2 on $S^3 = SU(2)$ with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ and recall that the connection and curvature of ds^2 can be expressed precisely by the structure constants of $\mathfrak{su}(2)$. In particular, in terms of the structure constants, we state the criterion for a left-invariant metric ds^2 on S^3 to have constant sectional curvatures c (Proposition 2.3) and the criterion of ds^2 being a Berger metric (Proposition 2.4). In Sect. 3, we introduce basic formulae for equivariant CR immersion (Lemma 3.1) and derive the classical Gauss-Weingarten formulae and Gauss-Codazzi equations. In Sect. 4, a roughly classification for all equivariant CR minimal immersions is proved. It turns out that there are only three possibilities of such immersions: a non-Berger sphere and $n = 2$; a Berger sphere with $\nabla^\perp\xi_0 = 0$ for each $n \geq 2$; Berger spheres with $\nabla^\perp\xi_0 \neq 0$ for each $n \geq 3$ (see Theorem 4.1). Here ξ_0 is a canonical normal vector field and is defined as in (3.31). Then in Sect. 5, it is shown that all these possibilities do occur and their explicitly expressions in polynomial are presented (Propositions 5.1 and 5.2). Finally in Sect. 6, we demonstrate the uniqueness for such immersions (Theorems 6.1 and 6.2).

2. The Lie group S^3 and its canonical structures

In this section, we shall review some fundamental results of S^3 which are given in [10] and will be needed in later sections. For completeness, we also give several new results which are of independent meaning.

2.1. The standard metric of S^3.

Let $S^3 = \{(z,w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 | z\bar{z} + w\bar{w} = 1\}$. It is identified with $SU(2)$ by

$$\mathrm{id} : S^3 \to SU(2) : (z,w) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} z & -\bar{w} \\ w & \bar{z} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.1}$$

Denote by $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ and $\mathfrak{su}(2)^*$ the Lie algebra consisting of all left-invariant vector fields and the vector space of all left-invariant 1-forms on S^3, respectively. The collection of left-invariant 1-forms $\{\omega_1', \omega_2', \omega_3'\}$, defined by

$$\begin{pmatrix} i\omega_1' & -\omega_2' + i\omega_3' \\ \omega_2' + i\omega_3' & -i\omega_1' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{z} & \bar{w} \\ -w & z \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} dz & -d\bar{w} \\ dw & d\bar{z} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.2}$$

forms a global frame of T^*S^3. Here, and throughout this paper, we adopt the usual notation $i = \sqrt{-1}$. Exterior differentiation of (2.2) leads to the equations

$$d\omega_1' = 2\,\omega_2' \wedge \omega_3', \quad d\omega_2' = 2\,\omega_3' \wedge \omega_1', \quad d\omega_3' = 2\,\omega_1' \wedge \omega_2'. \tag{2.3}$$

From (2.2) we get

$$\begin{cases} \omega_1' = -\frac{i}{2}(\bar{z}dz + \bar{w}dw - zd\bar{z} - wd\bar{w}), \\ \omega_2' = -\frac{1}{2}(wdz - zdw + \bar{w}d\bar{z} - \bar{z}d\bar{w}), \\ \omega_3' = \ \ \frac{i}{2}(wdz - zdw - \bar{w}d\bar{z} + \bar{z}d\bar{w}). \end{cases} \tag{2.4}$$

Thus the dual frame $\{X_1', X_2', X_3'\}$ of $\{\omega_1', \omega_2', \omega_3'\}$ is given by

$$\begin{cases} X_1' = i(z\frac{\partial}{\partial z} + w\frac{\partial}{\partial w} - \bar{z}\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} - \bar{w}\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{w}}), \\ X_2' = -\bar{w}\frac{\partial}{\partial z} + \bar{z}\frac{\partial}{\partial w} - w\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} + z\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{w}}, \\ X_3' = i(-\bar{w}\frac{\partial}{\partial z} + \bar{z}\frac{\partial}{\partial w} - w\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} + z\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{w}}). \end{cases} \tag{2.5}$$

The standard metric on S^3 is defined by $ds_0^2 = \sum_i \omega_i' \otimes \omega_i'$, which is bi-invariant and has constant sectional curvature 1. Choose an orientation of S^3 such that $\omega_1' \wedge \omega_2' \wedge \omega_3' = *1$, where $*1$ is the volume element with respect to ds_0^2. An orthonormal frame $\{\tilde{\omega}_1', \tilde{\omega}_2', \tilde{\omega}_3'\}$ of T^*S^3 is called *oriented frame* if $\tilde{\omega}_1' \wedge \tilde{\omega}_2' \wedge \tilde{\omega}_3' = *1$. If otherwise, $\tilde{\omega}_1' \wedge \tilde{\omega}_2' \wedge \tilde{\omega}_3' = -*1$, it is called the *oppositive oriented frame*.

With respect to ds_0^2, the Hodge star operator $*\colon \mathfrak{su}(2)^* \to \mathfrak{su}(2)^* \wedge \mathfrak{su}(2)^*$ is a linear isomorphism, which maps the basis $\{\omega_1', \omega_2', \omega_3'\}$ of $\mathfrak{su}(2)^*$ into a basis $\{\omega_2' \wedge \omega_3', \omega_3' \wedge \omega_1', \omega_1' \wedge \omega_2'\}$ of $\mathfrak{su}(2)^* \wedge \mathfrak{su}(2)^*$. Thus, by (2.3), $d = 2*$ and it is also a linear isomorphism.

The following lemma can be proved easily.

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose $\{\tilde{\omega}_1', \tilde{\omega}_2', \tilde{\omega}_3'\}$ is a basis of $\mathfrak{su}(2)^*$. Then it is an oriented frame of T^*S^3 (related to ds_0^2) if and only if it satisfies* (2.3).

The adjoint representation Ad of $SU(2)$ induces an action of the Lie group $SU(2)$ on $\mathfrak{su}(2)^*$ by

$$\mathrm{Ad}^* : SU(2) \times \mathfrak{su}(2)^* \to \mathfrak{su}(2)^* : (\tau, \theta) \mapsto \mathrm{Ad}_\tau^*(\theta) = a_\tau^* \theta,$$

where $a_\tau : SU(2) \to SU(2)$ defined by $a_\tau(A) = \tau^{-1} A \tau$ is an inner automorphism. Since $d \circ a_\tau^* = a_\tau^* \circ d$, by virtue of Lemma 2.1, it is easily seen that a_τ^* maps an oriented frame $\{\tilde{\omega}_1', \tilde{\omega}_2', \tilde{\omega}_3'\}$ into an oriented frame $\{a_\tau^*\tilde{\omega}_1', a_\tau^*\tilde{\omega}_2', a_\tau^*\tilde{\omega}_3'\}$. Conversely, one can prove the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2. *For any given oriented frame $\{\tilde{\omega}_1', \tilde{\omega}_2', \tilde{\omega}_3'\}$ of T^*S^3, there exists an element $\tau \in SU(2)$ such that $a_\tau^*\tilde{\omega}_1' = \omega_1'$, $a_\tau^*\tilde{\omega}_2' = \omega_2'$ and $a_\tau^*\tilde{\omega}_3' = \omega_3'$.*

Remark 2.1. Lemma 2.2 implies that $SU(2)$ acts transitively on $\mathfrak{su}(2)^*$ by Ad^*, and it also acts transitively on the set of all orientated frame.

2.2. The connection of left-invariant metrics on S^3.

Now, we examine the properties of a general left-invariant metric on S^3. Some results in this subsection expand the study of Milnor [16].

From now on, we adopt the convention of range of indices: $i, j, k, \ldots = 1, 2, 3$.

A left-invariant metric on $S^3 = SU(2)$ has the form that $ds^2 = \sum \omega_i \otimes \omega_i$, where $\{\omega_i\}$ is a basis of $\mathfrak{su}(2)^*$. Obviously, $\{\omega_i\}$ is a global orthonormal frame of T^*S^3.

Related to $\{\omega_i\}$, the structure equations of ds^2 are given by

$$d\omega_i = -\sum \omega_{ij} \wedge \omega_j, \quad \omega_{ij} + \omega_{ji} = 0, \tag{2.6}$$

$$d\omega_{ij} = -\sum \omega_{ik} \wedge \omega_{kj} + \Omega_{ij}, \tag{2.7}$$

where ω_{ij} and Ω_{ij} are the connection forms and curvature forms, respectively.

Let $\{X_i\}$ be the dual frame of $\{\omega_i\}$ defined on S^3. Denote by ∇ the Levi-Civita connection of ds^2. Then we have

$$\nabla_{X_k} X_i = -\sum \omega_{ij}(X_k) X_j, \quad \nabla_{X_k}\omega_i = -\sum \omega_{ij}(X_k)\omega_j. \tag{2.8}$$

Let $\{c_{ij}^k\}$ be the structure constants of $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ with respect to the basis $\{X_1, X_2, X_3\}$, i.e., $[X_i, X_j] = \sum c_{ij}^k X_k$. It is clear that $c_{ij}^k = -c_{ji}^k$.

Using the Koszul formula one gets

$$\omega_{ij} = \tfrac{1}{2}\sum (c_{ij}^k - c_{jk}^i + c_{ik}^j)\omega_k. \tag{2.9}$$

Equivalently, the above relations can be written exactly as

$$\begin{cases} \omega_{12} = c_{12}^1\omega_1 + c_{12}^2\omega_2 + (c_{12}^3 + a)\omega_3, \\ \omega_{23} = (c_{23}^1 + a)\omega_1 + c_{23}^2\omega_2 + c_{23}^3\omega_3, \\ \omega_{31} = c_{31}^1\omega_1 + (c_{31}^2 + a)\omega_2 + c_{31}^3\omega_3, \end{cases} \tag{2.10}$$

where

$$a = -\tfrac{1}{2}(c_{23}^1 + c_{31}^2 + c_{12}^3). \tag{2.11}$$

Hence, $\omega_{ij} \in \mathfrak{su}(2)^*$, and therefore, by (2.7), $\Omega_{ij} \in \mathfrak{su}(2)^* \wedge \mathfrak{su}(2)^*$ and it is also left-invariant. Substituting (2.9) into (2.6), we can write

$$d\omega_i = -\tfrac{1}{2}\sum c_{jk}^i \omega_j \wedge \omega_k = -\sum_{j<k} c_{jk}^i \omega_j \wedge \omega_k, \tag{2.12}$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{cases} -d\omega_1 = c_{23}^1\omega_2 \wedge \omega_3 + c_{31}^1\omega_3 \wedge \omega_1 + c_{12}^1\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2, \\ -d\omega_2 = c_{23}^2\omega_2 \wedge \omega_3 + c_{31}^2\omega_3 \wedge \omega_1 + c_{12}^2\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2, \\ -d\omega_3 = c_{23}^3\omega_2 \wedge \omega_3 + c_{31}^3\omega_3 \wedge \omega_1 + c_{12}^3\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2. \end{cases} \tag{2.13}$$

Since $d\colon \mathfrak{su}(2)^* \to \mathfrak{su}(2)^* \wedge \mathfrak{su}(2)^*$ is an isomorphism, the coefficients matrix on the right hand of (2.13):

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} c_{23}^1 & c_{31}^1 & c_{12}^1 \\ c_{23}^2 & c_{31}^2 & c_{12}^2 \\ c_{23}^3 & c_{31}^3 & c_{12}^3 \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.14}$$

is non-degenerate, namely $\det C \neq 0$.

Proposition 2.1. *The matrix C in (2.14) is symmetric. Consequently,*

$$c_{21}^2 + c_{31}^3 = 0, \quad c_{12}^1 + c_{32}^3 = 0, \quad c_{13}^1 + c_{23}^2 = 0. \tag{2.15}$$

Proof. Since $\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2 \in \mathfrak{su}(2)^* \wedge \mathfrak{su}(2)^*$ and that $d\colon \mathfrak{su}(2)^* \to \mathfrak{su}(2)^* \wedge \mathfrak{su}(2)^*$ is an isomorphism, we have $d(\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2) = 0$ and $d\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2 = \omega_1 \wedge d\omega_2$. Then, by (2.13),

$$-c_{31}^1\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2 \wedge \omega_3 = d\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2 = \omega_1 \wedge d\omega_2 = -c_{23}^2\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2 \wedge \omega_3.$$

Thus, $c_{31}^1 = c_{23}^2$. Similarly, $c_{12}^1 = c_{23}^3$, $c_{12}^2 = c_{31}^3$. Hence (2.15) follows. □

Note that the above matrix C depends on the frame $\{\omega_i\}$. Assume $\{\widetilde{\omega}_i\}$ is another orthonormal basis of $\mathfrak{su}(2)^*$, then a similar relations as (2.13) holds with corresponding matrix $\widetilde{C}$. Now, we explain the relationship between C and $\widetilde{C}$.

Take an orientation for S^3. The volume element of ds^2 is still denoted by $*1$. With respect to ds^2, the Hodge star operator $*\colon \mathfrak{su}(2)^* \to \mathfrak{su}(2)^* \wedge \mathfrak{su}(2)^*$ is also a linear isomorphism. Let $\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2 \wedge \omega_3 = \varepsilon * 1$, $\varepsilon = \pm 1$. Then, by (2.13),

$$(d\omega_1, d\omega_2, d\omega_3) = -\varepsilon(*\omega_1, *\omega_2, *\omega_3)C.$$

Similarly, if $\{\tilde\omega_i\}$ is another orthonormal frame with $\tilde\omega_1\wedge\tilde\omega_2\wedge\tilde\omega_3=\tilde\varepsilon*1$, then

$$(d\tilde\omega_1,d\tilde\omega_2,d\tilde\omega_3)=-\tilde\varepsilon(*\tilde\omega_1,*\tilde\omega_2,*\tilde\omega_3)\widetilde{C}.$$

Noting that there exists $T\in O(3)$ such that $(\tilde\omega_1,\tilde\omega_2,\tilde\omega_3)=(\omega_1,\omega_2,\omega_3)T$, then by the linearity of d and $*$ we have

$$-\tilde\varepsilon(*\omega_1,*\omega_2,*\omega_3)T\widetilde{C}=-\tilde\varepsilon(*\tilde\omega_1,*\tilde\omega_2,*\tilde\omega_3)\widetilde{C}=-\varepsilon(*\omega_1,*\omega_2,*\omega_3)CT.$$

It follows that

$$\widetilde{C}=\tilde\varepsilon\varepsilon\,T^{-1}CT=\tilde\varepsilon\varepsilon\,T^tCT. \tag{2.16}$$

An orthonormal frame $\{\omega_i\}$ with diagnalized $C=\operatorname{diag}(c_{23}^1,c_{31}^2,c_{12}^3)$ is called a *normalized frame*. According to the theory of linear algebra, we obtain immediately the following proposition.

Proposition 2.2. (i) *The scalar* $a=-\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{trace}C$ *defined by* (2.11) *is an orient invariant. Moreover,* a^2 *is an intrinsic invariant of* ds^2. (ii) *There is always a normalized frame* $\{\omega_i\}$ *for* ds^2.

For later use we also prove the following lemma.

Lemma 2.3. *Let* $\{\omega_i\}$ *be a normalized frame. Then* $c_{jk}^ic_{ij}^k>0$ *for distinct* i,j,k.

Proof. Let $\{\omega_i'\}$ be an oriented frame of the standard metric ds_0^2. Since both $\{\omega_i\}$ and $\{\omega_i'\}$ are basis of $\mathfrak{su}(2)^*$, there is a matrix $A=(a_{ij})\in GL(3,\mathbb{R})$ such that $(\omega_1,\omega_2,\omega_3)=(\omega_1',\omega_2',\omega_3')A$. Then $\omega_1\wedge\omega_2\wedge\omega_3=(\det A)\,\omega_1'\wedge\omega_2'\wedge\omega_3'$ and, by Lemma 2.1,

$$d\omega_1=2a_{11}\omega_2'\wedge\omega_3'+2a_{21}\omega_3'\wedge\omega_1'+2a_{31}\omega_1'\wedge\omega_2'.$$

On the other hand, by assumption, $d\omega_1=-c_{23}^1\omega_2\wedge\omega_3$, thus

$$-c_{23}^1\omega_1\wedge\omega_2\wedge\omega_3=\omega_1\wedge d\omega_1=2(a_{11}^2+a_{21}^2+a_{31}^2)\omega_1'\wedge\omega_2'\wedge\omega_3'.$$

This implies that $c_{23}^1\det A<0$.

Similarly, $c_{31}^2\det A<0$, $c_{12}^3\det A<0$. The assertion is verified. □

2.3. The curvature of left-invariant metrics on S^3.

As continuation of the previous subsections, we now compute the curvatures of the left-invariant metric ds^2. As the main result, we give the necessary and sufficient conditions under which ds^2 is of constant sectional curvatures.

From (2.7), (2.9) and (2.12) we have

$$\Omega_{ij}=-\tfrac{1}{4}\sum[(c_{ik}^l+c_{lk}^i+c_{il}^k)(c_{jk}^m+c_{jm}^k+c_{mk}^j)+(c_{ij}^k+c_{kj}^i+c_{ik}^j)c_{lm}^k]\omega_l\wedge\omega_m.$$

Put $\Omega_{ij}:=\frac{1}{2}\sum R_{ijlm}\omega_l\wedge\omega_m$, $R_{ijlm}=-R_{ijml}$, here R_{ijlm} denotes the components of the curvature tensor. We use notation $i,j,m\neq$ to indicate i,j,m are distinct indices. Since $i,j,l,m\in\{1,2,3\}$, if $R_{ijlm}\neq0$ then l has to be i or j, and $i,j,m\neq$.

Making use (2.11) and (2.15), we obtain

$$\begin{cases} R_{ijim}=c_{ij}^j(c_{ij}^m-c_{jm}^i+c_{mi}^j)-2c_{ij}^ic_{im}^i,\\ R_{ijij}=a^2+(c_{im}^i)^2-(c_{ij}^i)^2-(c_{ij}^j)^2-(c_{ij}^m)^2-c_{jm}^ic_{mi}^j, \end{cases}\quad i,j,m\neq. \tag{2.17}$$

In particular, if $\{\omega_i\}$ is a normalized frame, then

$$R_{ijim}=0,\quad R_{ijij}=a^2-(c_{ij}^m)^2-c_{jm}^ic_{mi}^j,\quad i,j,m\neq. \tag{2.18}$$

The following result, which is of independent meaning, establishes a criterion in terms of the structure constants for the left-invariant metric ds^2 to have constant sectional curvatures c.

Proposition 2.3. *A left-invariant metric ds^2 has constant curvature c if and only if for any orthonormal frame $\{\omega_i\}$, the corresponding structure constants $\{c_{ij}^k\}$ satisfy*

$$c_{ij}^i = 0, \quad c_{jm}^i = c_{mi}^j = c_{ij}^m = \pm 2\sqrt{c}, \quad i,j,m \neq . \tag{2.19}$$

Proof. First of all, if a metric on S^3 has constant sectional curvature c, then by Cartan-Hadamard theorem we have $c > 0$.

The "if" part is a direct consequence of (2.17), (2.19) and (2.11).

To prove the "only if" part, by virtue of Proposition 2.2, we can first choose a normalized frame $\{\tilde{\omega}_i\}$ and denote by $\{\tilde{c}_{ij}^k\}$ the corresponding structure constants. Then by (2.18) we have

$$(\tilde{c}_{ij}^m)^2 + \tilde{c}_{mi}^j \tilde{c}_{jm}^i = (\tilde{c}_{mi}^j)^2 + \tilde{c}_{jm}^i \tilde{c}_{ij}^m = (\tilde{c}_{jm}^i)^2 + \tilde{c}_{ij}^m \tilde{c}_{mi}^j = a^2 - c, \quad i,j,m \neq . \tag{2.20}$$

If $\tilde{c}_{mi}^j, \tilde{c}_{ij}^m, \tilde{c}_{jm}^i$ are mutually distinct, then by (2.20) $\tilde{c}_{mi}^j = \tilde{c}_{ij}^m = \tilde{c}_{jm}^i = 0$, a contradiction. So, without loss of generality, we may assume that $\tilde{c}_{mi}^j = \tilde{c}_{ij}^m$. By (2.20) again we have $\tilde{c}_{mi}^j = \tilde{c}_{ij}^m = \tilde{c}_{jm}^i = \pm 2\sqrt{c}$. Thus, $\widetilde{C} = \pm 2\sqrt{c} I$ with I denoting the unit matrix. Finally, let $\{\omega_i\}$ be an arbitrary orthonormal frame, then by (2.16) we have $C = \varepsilon T \widetilde{C} T^{-1} = \pm 2\varepsilon\sqrt{c} I$ with $\varepsilon = \pm 1$. The assertion is verified. □

2.4. **The Berger metric on S^3.**

First we state the following definition of Berger sphere (cf. [8, 21])

Definiton 2.1. *Let $\{\omega_i'\}$ be an oriented frame of S^3 with respect to ds_0^2, and b, c are two positive real numbers. Then, the left-invariant metric defined by*

$$ds^2 = \tfrac{1}{c}(b^2\omega_1'^2 + \omega_2'^2 + \omega_3'^2) \tag{2.21}$$

is called a Berger metric. The 3-sphere S^3 equipped with a Berger metric is called a Berger sphere.

Remark 2.2. In [8, 21], a Berger metric is defined essentially in the form (2.21) with the frame $\{\omega_i'\}$ being defined by (2.2). Thus, according to Lemma 2.2, up to an inner automorphism $a_\tau \colon SU(2) \to SU(2)$, the above definition of Berger sphere should be the same as that in [8, 21]. Note that b and c are two parameters in a Berger metric ds^2, and its sectional curvatures are constant if and only if $b = 1$.

Take $\omega_1' \wedge \omega_2' \wedge \omega_3'$ as the orientation of S^3. Then, for the metric (2.21),

$$\{\omega_1 = \tfrac{b}{\sqrt{c}}\omega_1', \ \omega_2 = \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{c}}\omega_2', \ \omega_3 = \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{c}}\omega_3'\}$$

is an orthonormal oriented frame. Using Lemma 2.1 we get

$$d\omega_1 = 2b\sqrt{c}\omega_2 \wedge \omega_3, \quad d\omega_2 = \tfrac{2}{b}\sqrt{c}\omega_3 \wedge \omega_1, \quad d\omega_3 = \tfrac{2}{b}\sqrt{c}\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2. \tag{2.22}$$

Conversely, we have the following criterion for Berger sphere.

Proposition 2.4. *A left-invariant metric ds^2 on S^3 is a Berger metric if and only if there exists an orthonormal frame $\{\omega_i\}$ and constants $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that*

$$d\omega_1 = 2c_1\omega_2 \wedge \omega_3, \quad d\omega_2 = 2c_2\omega_3 \wedge \omega_1, \quad d\omega_3 = 2c_2\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2. \tag{2.23}$$

Proof. The "only if" part is trivially from (2.22). To prove the "if" part, we first note by replacing ω_1 with $-\omega_1$ if necessary we may assume $c_1 > 0$. Then Lemma 2.3 implies that $c_2 > 0$. Let $c = c_1c_2$, $b = \sqrt{c_1/c_2}$. Then $c_1 = b\sqrt{c}$, $c_2 = \sqrt{c}/b$, and (2.23) becomes (2.22). Put $\omega_1' = (\sqrt{c}/b)\omega_1$, $\omega_2' = \sqrt{c}\omega_2$, $\omega_3' = \sqrt{c}\omega_3$, then $\{\omega_i'\}$ is a basis of $\mathfrak{su}(2)^*$ which satisfies (2.3). According to Lemma 2.1, $\{\omega_i'\}$ is an oriented frame with respect to ds_0^2. Thus the metric $ds^2 = \sum \omega_i^2$ assumes the form (2.21). □

3. Equivariant CR immersions from S^3 into $\mathbb{C}P^n$

In general, an *equivariant* map $\varphi\colon G_1/H_1 \to G_2/H_2$ is such a map which is compatible with the Lie group structures of G_1 and G_2 (cf. [10]). In particular, for $S^3 = SU(2)/SU(1)$ and $\mathbb{C}P^n = U(n+1)/[U(1)\times U(n)]$, a map $\varphi\colon S^3 \to \mathbb{C}P^n$ is equivariant if there exist a Lie group homomorphism $E\colon SU(2) \to U(n+1)$ and a holomorphic isometry $A\colon \mathbb{C}P^n \to \mathbb{C}P^n$ such that $\varphi = A\circ\pi_1\circ E$, where $\pi_1\colon U(n+1)\to \mathbb{C}P^n$ is the natural projection (cf. Definition 4.1 in [10]).

Following [1], a submanifold $M \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}P^n$ is called a *CR-submanifold* if there is an orthogonal direct sum decomposition $TM = V_1 \oplus V_2$ such that $JV_1 \subset T^\perp M$ and $JV_2 = V_2$. In particular, if $V_2 = \{0\}$ (resp. $V_1 = \{0\}$), then M is called a *totally real* (resp. *complex*) submanifold.

From now on, we will use the following range convention of indices:

$$A, B, \ldots = 1, \ldots, n; \quad i, j, \ldots = 1, 2, 3; \quad \alpha, \beta, \ldots = 3, \ldots, n.$$

3.1. Basic formula for equivariant CR immersion $\varphi\colon S^3 \to \mathbb{C}P^n$.

Let $\pi : S^{2n+1} \to \mathbb{C}P^n : v \mapsto \pi(v) = [v]$ be the Hopf fibration. Suppose $\varphi\colon S^3 \to \mathbb{C}P^n$ is an equivariant immersion. According to Proposition 4.2 of [10], there exists a global unitary frame $\{e_0, e_A\}$ of the trivial bundle $\underline{\mathbb{C}}^{n+1} = S^3\times\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ such that $\varphi = [e_0]$ and

$$\begin{cases} de_0 = i\rho_0 e_0 + \sum \theta_A\ e_A, \\ de_A = -\bar\theta_A e_0 + \sum \theta_{AB} e_B, \quad \theta_{AB} + \bar\theta_{BA} = 0, \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

where $\rho_0 \in \mathfrak{su}(2)^*$, $\theta_A, \theta_{AB} \in \mathfrak{su}(2)^*\otimes\mathbb{C}$. Hence, the induced metric $ds^2 = \sum \theta_A\bar\theta_A$ via φ is left-invariant. Take an orthonormal frame $\{\omega_i\}$, which is a basis of $\mathfrak{su}(2)^*$, for T^*S^3. We can write $\theta_A = \sum a_{Ai}\omega_i$, where $a_{Ai}\in\mathbb{C}$ are all constant. Then

$$\sum \omega_i\otimes\omega_i = ds^2 = \sum a_{Ai}\bar a_{Aj}\omega_i\omega_j = \tfrac12\sum (a_{Ai}\bar a_{Aj} + \bar a_{Ai}a_{Aj})\omega_i\otimes\omega_j. \tag{3.2}$$

Let Ω be the Kähler form of $\mathbb{C}P^n$, then we have

$$\varphi^*\Omega = \tfrac{1}{2\sqrt{-1}}\sum \theta_A\wedge\bar\theta_A = -\tfrac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}\sum a_{Ai}\bar a_{Aj}\omega_i\wedge\omega_j = -\sum J_{ij}\omega_i\otimes\omega_j, \tag{3.3}$$

where

$$J_{ij} = \tfrac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}\sum (a_{Ai}\bar a_{Aj} - \bar a_{Ai}a_{Aj}) = -J_{ji} \in \mathbb{R} \tag{3.4}$$

are also constant. The above equation together with (3.2) gives

$$\sum a_{Ai}\bar a_{Aj} = \delta_{ij} - \sqrt{-1}J_{ij}. \tag{3.5}$$

The tensor $-\varphi^*\Omega$ determines a well defined bundle endomorphism

$$F = \sum J_{ij}\omega_i\otimes X_j\colon TS^3 \to TS^3,$$

where $\{X_i\}$ is the dual frame of $\{\omega_i\}$. Since J_{ij}'s are constant, the rank of F should be 0 or 2. If $F = 0$, then the submanifold $\varphi(S^3)$ is totally real (or called *weakly Lagrangian* [9]). If $F\neq 0$, the tangent bundle TS^3 can be decomposed into orthogonal direct sum $TS^3 = V_1\oplus V_2$ with $V_1 = \ker F$. By re-choosing the frame we may assume $X_1\in V_1$. Then

$$FX_1 = 0, \quad FX_2 = J_{23}X_3, \quad FX_3 = -J_{23}X_2. \tag{3.6}$$

Denote by g the standard Fubini-Study metric of $\mathbb{C}P^n$ with constant holomorphic sectional curvature 4. From

$$J_{23} = ds^2(FX_2, X_3) = -\varphi^*\omega(X_2, X_3) = g(J\varphi_*(X_2), \varphi_*(X_3)),$$

we see that $|J_{23}| \leq 1$. If $|J_{23}| = 1$, then $\varphi(S^3)$ is a CR-submanifold and φ is said to be *CR type*. In fact, FX_i is just the tangent component of $J\varphi_*(X_i)$ if we identify TS^3 with $\varphi_*(TS^3)$.

$\varphi(S^3)$ is a totally real submanifold (resp. CR-submanifold) if and only if $\alpha = \pi/2$ (resp. $\alpha = 0$ or π). Therefore, the angle function $\alpha := \arccos J_{23}$ is an analogue of the Kähler angle of an immersed surface in $\mathbb{C}P^n$.

From now on, the immersion φ is always assumed CR type. The following lemma gives a basic formula which in sequel will be used from time to time.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\varphi\colon S^3 \to \mathbb{C}P^n$ be an equivariant CR immersion with induced metric ds^2. Then there exist an orthonormal frame $\{\omega_i\}$ of T^*S^3 and a unitary frame $\{e_0, e_1, e_2, e_\alpha\}$ of $\underline{\mathbb{C}}^{n+1}$ such that $\varphi = [e_0]$, and*

$$\begin{cases} de_0 = i\rho_0 e_0 + \omega_1 e_1 + \omega e_2, \\ de_1 = -\omega_1 e_0 + i\rho_1 e_1 + \theta_{12} e_2 + \sum \theta_{1\alpha} e_\alpha, \\ de_2 = -\bar{\omega} e_0 - \bar{\theta}_{12} e_1 + i\rho_2 e_2 + \sum \theta_{2\alpha} e_\alpha, \\ de_\alpha = -\bar{\theta}_{1\alpha} e_1 - \bar{\theta}_{2\alpha} e_2 + \sum \theta_{\alpha\beta} e_\beta, \quad \theta_{\alpha\beta} + \bar{\theta}_{\beta\alpha} = 0, \end{cases} \tag{3.7}$$

where $\omega = \omega_2 + i\omega_3$, ρ_0, ρ_1, $\rho_2 \in \mathfrak{su}(2)^*$, θ_{12}, $\theta_{1\alpha}$, $\theta_{2\alpha}$, $\theta_{\alpha\beta} \in \mathfrak{su}(2)^* \otimes \mathbb{C}$.

Proof. By assumption $J_{23} = \pm 1$ in (3.6). This ensures us to choose a left-invariant orthonormal frame $\{X_i\}$ of TS^3, by replacing X_2 with $-X_2$ if necessary, such that

$$FX_1 = 0, \quad FX_2 = X_3, \quad FX_3 = -X_2. \tag{3.8}$$

On the other hand, as in (3.1) there exists a unitary fame $\{e_0, e'_A\}$ of $\underline{\mathbb{C}}^{n+1}$ such that $\varphi = [e_0]$ and

$$de_0 = i\rho_0 e_0 + \sum \theta_A e'_A, \quad de'_A = -\bar{\theta}_A e_0 + \sum \theta'_{AB} e'_B, \tag{3.9}$$

where $\rho_0 \in \mathfrak{su}(2)^*$, $\theta_A, \theta'_{AB} \in \mathfrak{su}(2)^* \otimes \mathbb{C}$.

Let $\{\omega_i\}$ be the dual frame of $\{X_i\}$. We can write $\theta_A = \sum a_{Ai}\omega_i$. Then (3.5) holds true with $J_{12} = J_{13} = 0$, $J_{23} = 1$. The first equation of (3.9) becomes

$$de_0 = i\rho_0 e_0 + \omega_1 f_1 + \omega_2 f_2 + \omega_3 f_3, \tag{3.10}$$

where $f_i = \sum a_{Ai} e'_A$.

Denote by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ the canonical symmetric scalar product of $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$. From (3.5) and (3.8) we get

$$|f_1| = |f_2| = |f_3| = 1, \quad \langle f_1, \bar{f}_2 \rangle = \langle f_1, \bar{f}_3 \rangle = 0, \quad \langle f_2, \bar{f}_3 \rangle = -i.$$

This leads to $|f_2 + if_3|^2 = 0$ and therefore $f_3 = if_2$. Thus, by (3.10),

$$de_0 = i\rho_0 e_0 + \omega_1 e_1 + \omega e_2, \tag{3.11}$$

where $\omega = \omega_2 + i\omega_3$ and

$$e_1 = f_1 = \sum a_{A1} e'_A, \quad e_2 = f_2 = \sum a_{A2} e'_A. \tag{3.12}$$

By (3.5) and (3.8), we see that $v_1 = (a_{11}, \ldots, a_{n1})$ and $v_2 = (a_{12}, \ldots, a_{n2})$ are two unit and orthogonal vectors of $\mathbb{C}^n$. Expand $\{v_1, v_2\}$ into a unitary basis $\{v_1, v_2, v_3, \ldots, v_n\}$ of $\mathbb{C}^n$ such that $v_\alpha = (v_{1\alpha}, \ldots, v_{n\alpha})$, $\alpha = 3, \ldots, n$. Put

$$e_3 = \sum v_{A3} e'_A, \ \ldots, \ e_n = \sum v_{An} e'_A. \tag{3.13}$$

Then $\{e_0, e_A\}$ forms a unitary frame of $\underline{\mathbb{C}}^{n+1}$. According to (3.11), the structure equations of the frame $\{e_0, e_1, e_2, e_\alpha\}$ take the form of (3.7). From (3.9), (3.12) and (3.13), we know that $\theta_{AB} = \langle de_A, \bar{e}_B \rangle \in \mathfrak{su}(2)^* \otimes \mathbb{C}$ since $\theta'_{AB} \in \mathfrak{su}(2)^* \otimes \mathbb{C}$. □

3.2. **Invariants of equivariant CR immersion.**

Hereafter, $\{X_i\}$ is assumed the orthonormal frame satisfying (3.8) and $\{\omega_i\}$ is its dual frame. Set $Z = \frac{1}{2}(X_2 - iX_3)$ and $\omega = \omega_2 + i\omega_3$. Then $\{X_1, Z, \bar{Z}\}$ and $\{\omega_1, \omega, \bar{\omega}\}$ give a new pair of dual frame. Obviously,

$$X_2 = Z + \bar{Z}, \quad X_3 = i(Z - \bar{Z}). \tag{3.14}$$

From (2.8), with respect to $\{X_1, Z, \bar{Z}\}$ and $\{\omega_1, \omega, \bar{\omega}\}$, we have

$$\nabla X_1 = -(\sigma Z + \bar{\sigma}\bar{Z}), \quad \nabla Z = \tfrac{1}{2}\bar{\sigma}X_1 - i\omega_{23}Z, \tag{3.15}$$

where $\sigma = \omega_{12} + i\omega_{13}$, and by (2.10),

$$\omega_{23} = (c^1_{23} + a)\omega_1 - \tfrac{i}{2}\bar{\nu}\omega + \tfrac{i}{2}\nu\bar{\omega}, \quad \sigma = \nu\omega_1 + \tfrac{i}{2}c^1_{23}\omega - \nu_1\bar{\omega}, \tag{3.16}$$

where

$$\nu = c^1_{12} + ic^1_{13}, \quad \nu_1 = c^2_{21} + \tfrac{i}{2}(c^2_{31} - c^3_{12}). \tag{3.17}$$

Then, by (3.15) and (3.16), we have

$$\nabla_{X_1} X_1 = -(\nu Z + \bar{\nu}\bar{Z}), \quad \nabla_{\bar{Z}} X_1 = \nu_1 Z + \tfrac{i}{2}c^1_{23}\bar{Z}. \tag{3.18}$$

The structure equation (2.6), (2.7) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{cases} d\omega_1 = -\frac{1}{2}[\bar{\nu}\omega_1 \wedge \omega + \nu\omega_1 \wedge \bar{\omega} + ic^1_{23}\omega \wedge \bar{\omega}], \\ d\omega = -\frac{i}{2}(c^1_{23} + 2a)\omega_1 \wedge \omega + \nu_1\omega \wedge \bar{\omega} + \frac{1}{2}\nu\omega \wedge \bar{\omega}, \end{cases} \tag{3.19}$$

$$d\omega_{23} = \tfrac{i}{2}\sigma \wedge \bar{\sigma} + \Omega_{23}, \quad d\sigma = -i\sigma \wedge \omega_{23} + \Omega_{12} + i\Omega_{13}. \tag{3.20}$$

With respect to $\{\omega_1, \omega, \bar{\omega}\}$, the curvature forms can be expressed as

$$\Omega_{ij} = \tfrac{1}{2}[(R_{ij12} - iR_{ij13})\omega_1 \wedge \omega + (R_{ij12} + iR_{ij13})\omega_1 \wedge \bar{\omega} + iR_{ij23}\omega \wedge \bar{\omega}].$$

It follows that

$$\Omega_{23} = \tfrac{1}{2}[(R_{2312} - iR_{2313})\omega_1 \wedge \omega + (R_{2312} + iR_{2313})\omega_1 \wedge \bar{\omega} + iR_{2323}\omega \wedge \bar{\omega}], \tag{3.21}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{12} + i\Omega_{13} = \tfrac{1}{2}[&(R_{1212} + R_{1313})\omega_1 \wedge \omega + (R_{1212} - R_{1313} + 2iR_{1213})\omega_1 \wedge \bar{\omega} \\ &+ (iR_{1223} - R_{1323})\omega \wedge \bar{\omega}]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

For any orthonormal frame $\{\tilde{X}_i\}$ with $F\tilde{X}_1 = 0$ and $F\tilde{X}_2 = \tilde{X}_3$ we must have

$$\tilde{X}_1 = (\det T)X_1, \quad \tilde{X}_2 = \cos t\, X_2 - \sin t\, X_3, \quad \tilde{X}_3 = \sin t\, X_2 + \cos t\, X_3,$$

where t is a real number, and T is the transition matrix from $\{X_i\}$ to $\{\tilde{X}_i\}$. If we set $Z' = \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{X}_2 - i\tilde{X}_3)$, then the above frame transformation can be written as

$$\tilde{X}_1 = \varepsilon X_1, \quad Z' = e^{-it}Z, \quad \bar{Z}' = e^{it}\bar{Z} \tag{3.23}$$

where $\varepsilon = \det T$.

Now we prove the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $\varphi\colon S^3 \to \mathbb{C}P^n$ be an equivariant CR immersion with induced metric ds^2. Then we have*

(i) *$\nu = 0$ if and only if $\nabla_{X_1} X_1 = 0$, that is, the integral curve of X_1 is a geodesic on (S^3, ds^2);*

(ii) *$\nu = \nu_1 = 0$ if and only if (S^3, ds^2) is a Berger sphere;*

(iii) *Under the frame transformation (3.23), c^1_{23}, ν, ν_1 change by $\tilde{c}^1_{23} = \varepsilon c^1_{23}$, $\tilde{\nu} = e^{it}\nu$, $\tilde{\nu}_1 = \varepsilon e^{2it}\nu_1$, respectively. Thus, $|c^1_{23}|$, $|\nu|$ and $|\nu_1|$ are all invariant.*

Proof. The assertion (i) is from the first equation of (3.18). The assertion (ii) is a direct consequence of Proposition 2.4 and (3.17). Finally, from (3.18) we have

$$c^1_{23} = -4ids^2(\nabla_{\bar{Z}} X_1, Z), \ \nu = -2ds^2(\nabla_{X_1} X_1, \bar{Z}), \ \nu_1 = 2ds^2(\nabla_{\bar{Z}} X_1, \bar{Z}).$$

The assertion (iii) follows from the above computation of c^1_{23}, ν and ν_1. □

3.3. **The Gauss-Weingarten formulae.**

Let $\underline{\mathbb{C}}^{n+1} = \mathbb{C}P^n \times \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ and L be the trivial bundle and the canonical line bundle over $\mathbb{C}P^n$, respectively. Denote by $L^\perp$ (resp. $\bar{L}$) the orthogonal complement in $\underline{\mathbb{C}}^{n+1}$ (resp. the complex conjugate) of L. The trivial connection d of $\underline{\mathbb{C}}^{n+1}$ induces the standard connection on both L and $L^\perp$. This produces the connection on $\bar{L}$ and hence on $\bar{L} \otimes L^\perp$.

To clarify the above let us take a local unitary frame $\{e_0, e_A\}$ of $\underline{\mathbb{C}}^{n+1}$ with $e_0 \in L$. For simplicity, we will denote by the same notation ∇ the connections on different vector bundles. Let us write

$$de_0 = i\rho_0 e_0 + \sum \theta_A e_A, \quad de_A = -\bar{\theta}_A e_0 + \sum \theta_{AB} e_B.$$

Then by definition

$$\nabla e_0 = i\rho_0 e_0, \quad \nabla e_A = \sum \theta_{AB} e_B.$$

Thus $\nabla \bar{e}_0 = -i\rho_0 \bar{e}_0$, and consequently,

$$\nabla(\bar{e}_0 \otimes e_A) = \sum (\theta_{AB} - i\delta_{AB}\rho_0)(\bar{e}_0 \otimes e_B). \tag{3.24}$$

If we identify $T\mathbb{C}P^n \cong_{\mathbb{R}} T^{(1,0)}\mathbb{C}P^n$ with $\bar{L} \otimes L^\perp$, then the connection on $\bar{L} \otimes L^\perp$ is exactly the Levi-Civita connection on $T\mathbb{C}P^n$. With respect to the unitary frame $\{\bar{e}_0 \otimes e_A\}$ of $\bar{L} \otimes L^\perp$, $\{\theta_{AB} - i\delta_{AB}\rho_0\}$ are the connection 1-forms.

For the immersion $\varphi\colon S^3 \to \mathbb{C}P^n$, the pullback bundles of $\underline{\mathbb{C}}^{n+1}$, L, $\bar{L}$ and $L^\perp$ via φ will be denoted by the same notations, respectively. Using Lemma 3.1 we have a global unitary frame $\{e_0, e_A\}$ of $\underline{\mathbb{C}}^{n+1}$ with $\varphi = [e_0]$ such that (3.7) holds. Then the differential (tangent map) of φ, namely that

$$d\varphi\colon TS^3 \to T\mathbb{C}P^n \cong_{\mathbb{R}} T^{(1,0)}\mathbb{C}P^n = \bar{L} \otimes L^\perp,$$

is given by $d\varphi = \omega_1(\bar{e}_0 \otimes e_1) + \omega(\bar{e}_0 \otimes e_2)$, or equivalently,

$$\varphi_* X_1 = \bar{e}_0 \otimes e_1, \quad \varphi_* X_2 = \bar{e}_0 \otimes e_2, \quad \varphi_* X_3 = i\bar{e}_0 \otimes e_2. \tag{3.25}$$

Then, the tangent bundle and normal bundle of $\varphi(S^3)$ are given, respectively, by

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_*(TS^3) &= \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{\bar{e}_0 \otimes e_1, \bar{e}_0 \otimes e_2, i\bar{e}_0 \otimes e_2\} \subset \varphi^{-1}T\mathbb{C}P^n, \\ T^\perp S^3 &= \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{i\bar{e}_0 \otimes e_1, \bar{e}_0 \otimes e_\alpha, i\bar{e}_0 \otimes e_\alpha\} \subset \varphi^{-1}T\mathbb{C}P^n. \end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

Denote by $\bar{\nabla}$ the connection on the pullback bundle $\varphi^{-1}T\mathbb{C}P^n$. From (3.24) and (3.25), together with (3.7), we see that

$$\begin{cases} \bar{\nabla}(\varphi_* X_1) = i(\rho_1 - \rho_0)(\bar{e}_0 \otimes e_1) + \theta_{12}(\bar{e}_0 \otimes e_2) + \sum \theta_{1\beta}(\bar{e}_0 \otimes e_\beta), \\ \bar{\nabla}(\varphi_* X_2) = -\bar{\theta}_{12}(\bar{e}_0 \otimes e_1) + i(\rho_2 - \rho_0)(\bar{e}_0 \otimes e_2) + \sum \theta_{2\beta}(\bar{e}_0 \otimes e_\beta), \\ \bar{\nabla}(\varphi_* X_3) = -i\bar{\theta}_{12}(\bar{e}_0 \otimes e_1) - (\rho_2 - \rho_0)(\bar{e}_0 \otimes e_2) + i\sum \theta_{2\beta}(\bar{e}_0 \otimes e_\beta). \end{cases} \tag{3.27}$$

Denote by B the second fundamental form of φ. Then the Gauss formula

$$\bar{\nabla}_{X_j}(\varphi_* X_i) = \varphi_*(\nabla_{X_j} X_i) + B(X_i, X_j)$$

implies that the tangential component of the right hand side of (3.27) is $\varphi_*(\nabla X_j)$.

From (3.15) and (3.25), we get

$$\theta_{12}(\bar{e}_0 \otimes e_2) = \varphi_*(\nabla X_1) = -\sigma(\bar{e}_0 \otimes e_2).$$

It follows that

$$\theta_{12} = -\sigma = -(\omega_{12} + i\omega_{13}). \tag{3.28}$$

Similarly, the above fact, together with (3.25) and $\nabla X_2 = \omega_{12} X_1 - \omega_{23} X_3$, gives

$$i(\rho_2 - \rho_0)(\bar{e}_0 \otimes e_2) = \varphi_*(\nabla X_2 - \omega_{12} X_1) = -i\omega_{23}(\bar{e}_0 \otimes e_2).$$

Thus we get

$$\rho_0 - \rho_2 = \omega_{23}. \tag{3.29}$$

Note that the second fundamental form can be expressed by

$$B = \sum[\bar{\nabla}(\varphi_* X_i) - \varphi_*(\nabla X_i)] \otimes \omega_i.$$

By using (3.27) and (3.28), we have

$$\begin{aligned} B = [(\rho_1 - \rho_0) \otimes \omega_1 - \omega_{13} \otimes \omega_2 + \omega_{12} \otimes \omega_3](i\,\bar{e}_0 \otimes e_1) \\ + \sum(\theta_{1\alpha} \otimes \omega_1 + \theta_{2\alpha} \otimes \omega)(\bar{e}_0 \otimes e_\alpha). \end{aligned} \tag{3.30}$$

Since, by (3.16), $\sigma = \omega_{12} + i\omega_{13} \neq 0$, and that $\det C \neq 0$ implies that $\{c^1_{ij}\}$ can not be all zero, it follows that $B \neq 0$. Hence we have

Theorem 3.1. *An equivariant CR immersion $\varphi\colon S^3 \to \mathbb{C}P^n$ can not be totally geodesic.*

From (3.26) we see that the set of normal vector fields:

$$\{\xi_0 := i\bar{e}_0 \otimes e_1 = J(\varphi_* X_1),\ \xi_A := \bar{e}_0 \otimes e_A,\ J\xi_A = i\bar{e}_0 \otimes e_A\} \tag{3.31}$$

defines an orthonormal frame of $T^\perp S^3$. Moreover, by (3.24), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\nabla}\xi_0 &= (\rho_0 - \rho_1)(\bar{e}_0 \otimes e_1) + i\theta_{12}(\bar{e}_0 \otimes e_2) + i\textstyle\sum\theta_{1\alpha}(\bar{e}_0 \otimes e_\alpha), \\ \bar{\nabla}\xi_\alpha &= -\bar{\theta}_{1\alpha}\bar{e}_0 \otimes e_1 - \bar{\theta}_{2\alpha}\bar{e}_0 \otimes e_2 + \textstyle\sum(\theta_{\alpha\beta} - i\delta_{\alpha\beta}\rho_0)(\bar{e}_0 \otimes e_\beta). \end{aligned}$$

Then, according to the Weingarten formula

$$\bar{\nabla}\xi = -\varphi_* \circ A_\xi + \nabla^\perp \xi, \quad \xi \in T^\perp S^3,$$

we get

$$\nabla^\perp \xi_0 = \tfrac{i}{2}\sum(\theta_{1\alpha} - \bar{\theta}_{1\alpha})\xi_\alpha + \tfrac{1}{2}\sum(\theta_{1\alpha} + \bar{\theta}_{1\alpha})J\xi_\alpha, \tag{3.32}$$

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\xi_\alpha} &= \tfrac{1}{2}\sum(\theta_{1\alpha} + \bar{\theta}_{1\alpha})X_1 + \tfrac{1}{2}\sum(\theta_{2\alpha} + \bar{\theta}_{2\alpha})X_2 - \tfrac{i}{2}\sum(\theta_{2\alpha} - \bar{\theta}_{2\alpha})X_3, \\ A_{J\xi_\alpha} &= -\tfrac{i}{2}\sum(\theta_{1\alpha} - \bar{\theta}_{1\alpha})X_1 + \tfrac{i}{2}\sum(\theta_{2\alpha} - \bar{\theta}_{2\alpha})X_2 + \tfrac{1}{2}\sum(\theta_{2\alpha} + \bar{\theta}_{2\alpha})X_3. \end{aligned}$$

Put $W_1 := \mathrm{span}\{\xi_0\}$ and $W_2 := W_1^\perp$ in $T^\perp S^3$. If $A_\xi = 0$ for any $\xi \in W_2$, the submanifold $\varphi(S^3)$ is said to be *totally geodesic with respect to W_2*. Then, from the above calculations, we have the following observations:

Proposition 3.2. (i) *ξ_0 is parallel in $T^\perp S^3$ (that is $\nabla^\perp \xi_0 = 0$) if and only if $\theta_{1\alpha} = 0$.* (ii) *The submanifold $\varphi(S^3)$ is totally geodesic with respect to W_2 if and only if $\theta_{1\alpha} = \theta_{2\alpha} = 0$.*

3.4. **The Gauss-Codazzi equations.**

Exterior differentiation of (3.7), and using (3.28), leads to the following eqs.:

$$id\rho_0 = -\omega \wedge \bar{\omega} = 2i\omega_2 \wedge \omega_3, \tag{3.33}$$

$$d\omega_1 = i(\rho_0 - \rho_1) \wedge \omega_1 - \bar{\sigma} \wedge \omega, \quad \theta_{1\alpha} \wedge \omega_1 + \theta_{2\alpha} \wedge \omega = 0, \tag{3.34}$$

$$d\omega = \sigma \wedge \omega_1 + i(\rho_0 - \rho_2) \wedge \omega, \tag{3.35}$$

$$id\rho_1 = -\sigma \wedge \bar{\sigma} - \sum \theta_{1\alpha} \wedge \bar{\theta}_{1\alpha}, \quad id\rho_2 = \omega \wedge \bar{\omega} + \sigma \wedge \bar{\sigma} - \sum \theta_{2\alpha} \wedge \bar{\theta}_{2\alpha}, \tag{3.36}$$

$$d\sigma = \omega_1 \wedge \omega + i(\rho_1 - \rho_2) \wedge \sigma + \sum \theta_{1\alpha} \wedge \bar{\theta}_{2\alpha}, \tag{3.37}$$

$$\begin{cases} d\theta_{1\alpha} = i\rho_1 \wedge \theta_{1\alpha} - \sigma \wedge \theta_{2\alpha} + \sum \theta_{1\beta} \wedge \theta_{\beta\alpha}, \\ d\theta_{2\alpha} = i\rho_2 \wedge \theta_{2\alpha} + \bar{\sigma} \wedge \theta_{1\alpha} + \sum \theta_{2\beta} \wedge \theta_{\beta\alpha}, \end{cases} \tag{3.38}$$

$$d\theta_{\alpha\beta} = \theta_{\alpha 1}\wedge\theta_{1\beta} + \theta_{\alpha 2}\wedge\theta_{2\beta} + \sum \theta_{\alpha\gamma}\wedge\theta_{\gamma\beta}. \tag{3.39}$$

From (3.30) we see that the symmetry of B is given by (3.34). In fact, by using (2.6), the first equation of (3.34) means that

$$(\rho_1-\rho_0)\wedge\omega_1 = i(d\omega_1 + \bar\sigma\wedge\omega) = \omega_{13}\wedge\omega_2 - \omega_{12}\wedge\omega_3.$$

Substituting (2.10) into the above equation, and using (2.15), we get

$$(\rho_1-\rho_0)\wedge\omega_1 = (c_{23}^2\omega_2 + c_{23}^3\omega_3)\wedge\omega_1. \tag{3.40}$$

The exterior differentiation of (3.29), together with (3.33), (3.36), (3.37) and (3.20), gives the Gauss equation

$$\begin{cases} \Omega_{23} = d\omega_{23} - \frac{i}{2}\sigma\wedge\bar\sigma = 2i\omega\wedge\bar\omega + \frac{i}{2}\sigma\wedge\bar\sigma - i\sum\theta_{2\alpha}\wedge\bar\theta_{2\alpha}, \\ \Omega_{12} + i\Omega_{13} = d\sigma + i\sigma\wedge\omega_{23} = i(\rho_1-\rho_0)\wedge\sigma + \omega_1\wedge\omega + \sum\theta_{1\alpha}\wedge\bar\theta_{2\alpha}. \end{cases} \tag{3.41}$$

Similarly, from (3.27), noting that $\sigma = -\theta_{12}$, we see that (3.37) and (3.38) are part of the Codazzi equations, whereas the rest one is

$$d(\rho_0-\rho_1) = i(\omega\wedge\bar\omega - \sigma\wedge\bar\sigma - \textstyle\sum\theta_{1\alpha}\wedge\bar\theta_{1\alpha}).$$

4. Equivariant CR minimal immersions from S^3 into $\mathbb{C}P^n$

The purpose of this section is the proof of Theorem 4.1, which concerns with a roughly classification of equivariant CR minimal immersions from S^3 into $\mathbb{C}P^n$. Recall that a equivariant CR immersion $\varphi\colon S^3\to\mathbb{C}P^n$ is minimal if and only if $\operatorname{trace} B = 0$, that is, by (3.30),

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{trace}\left[(\rho_1-\rho_0)\otimes\omega_1 - \omega_{13}\otimes\omega_2 + \omega_{12}\otimes\omega_3\right] = 0, \\ \operatorname{trace}\left(\theta_{1\alpha}\otimes\omega_1 + \theta_{2\alpha}\otimes\omega\right) = 0. \end{cases}$$

This, by the use of (2.10) and (2.11), is equivalent to

$$(\rho_1-\rho_0)(X_1) = \omega_{13}(X_2) - \omega_{12}(X_3) = c_{23}^1, \quad \theta_{1\alpha}(X_1) + 2\theta_{2\alpha}(\bar Z) = 0. \tag{4.1}$$

The above two equations, together with (3.40), (2.10) and the second equation of (3.34), lead to

$$\rho_1 - \rho_0 = c_{23}^1\omega_1 + c_{23}^2\omega_2 + c_{23}^3\omega_3 = \omega_{23} - a\omega_1, \tag{4.2}$$

$$\theta_{1\alpha} = \lambda_\alpha\omega, \quad \theta_{2\alpha} = \lambda_\alpha\omega_1 + \mu_\alpha\omega. \tag{4.3}$$

where $\lambda_\alpha,\ \mu_\alpha\in\mathbb{C}$ are constant.

Recall that a submanifold $M\hookrightarrow\mathbb{C}P^n$ is called *linearly full* if there is no totally geodesic $\mathbb{C}P^k$ $(k<n)$ such that $M\hookrightarrow\mathbb{C}P^k\subset\mathbb{C}P^n$. For such a submanifold the dimension n is called the *full dimension*. Henceforth, we assume that $\varphi\colon S^3\to\mathbb{C}P^n$ is an equivariant CR minimal immersion with full dimension n.

Proposition 4.1. *If $\varphi(S^3)$ is totally geodesic with respect to W_2, then $n=2$.*

Proof. If $\varphi(S^3)$ is totally geodesic with respect to W_2, then, from Proposition 3.2, we have $\theta_{1A} = \theta_{2A} = 0$. Since $\theta_{12} = -\sigma$, the first three equations in (3.7) become

$$\begin{cases} de_0 = i\rho_0 e_0 + \omega_1 e_1 + \omega e_2, \\ de_1 = -\omega_1 e_0 + i\rho_1 e_1 - \sigma e_2, \\ de_2 = -\bar\omega e_0 + \bar\sigma e_1 + i\rho_2 e_2. \end{cases} \tag{4.4}$$

Then by (3.33) and (3.36) we get $d(\rho_0+\rho_1+\rho_2) = 0$. It follows by the isomorphism of $d:\mathfrak{su}(2)^*\to\mathfrak{su}(2)^*\wedge\mathfrak{su}(2)^*$ that $\rho_0+\rho_1+\rho_2 = 0$. Then we get $d(e_0\wedge e_1\wedge e_2) = 0$, showing that $span\{e_0,e_1,e_2\}$ is a constant subspace and $\varphi(S^3)\subset\mathbb{C}P^2$. □

More information can be derived from the above equation (4.4).

Lemma 4.1. *In case $n = 2$, we have* (4.4) *and its coefficients forms satisfy:*

$$\rho_0 = \tfrac{a}{3}\omega_1,\ \rho_1 = (\tfrac{a}{3} + c^1_{23})\omega_1,\ \rho_2 = -(\tfrac{2a}{3} + c^1_{23})\omega_1,\ \sigma = \tfrac{i}{2}c^1_{23}\omega - \nu_1\bar{\omega}, \tag{4.5}$$

$$\nu = 0,\quad ac^1_{23} = -6,\quad 4\nu_1\bar{\nu}_1 = 3(c^1_{23})^2 - 4,\quad \nu_1(3c^1_{23} + 2a) = 0. \tag{4.6}$$

Proof. As before we have $\rho_0 + \rho_1 + \rho_2 = 0$. Thus, by (3.29) and (4.2), it holds

$$3\rho_0 = (\rho_0 - \rho_2) - (\rho_1 - \rho_0) = a\omega_1. \tag{4.7}$$

Taking exterior differentiation of (4.7), together with the use of (3.33) and the first equation of (3.19), gives

$$\nu = 0,\quad ac^1_{23} = -6. \tag{4.8}$$

Then, by (3.16), we have

$$\omega_{23} = (c^1_{23} + a)\omega_1,\quad \sigma = \tfrac{i}{2}c^1_{23}\omega - \nu_1\bar{\omega},\quad \sigma\wedge\bar{\sigma} = \tfrac{1}{4}[(c^1_{23})^2 - 4\nu_1\bar{\nu}_1]\omega\wedge\bar{\omega}. \tag{4.9}$$

Since $\nu = 0$, from (3.17) and (2.15), we see that $c^2_{23} = c^3_{23} = 0$. Then, by (4.2), (3.29) and that $\rho_0 = (a/3)\omega_1$, we get

$$\rho_1 = \rho_0 + c^1_{23}\omega_1 = (\tfrac{a}{3} + c^1_{23})\omega_1,\quad \rho_2 = \rho_0 - \omega_{23} = -(\tfrac{2a}{3} + c^1_{23})\omega_1. \tag{4.10}$$

This completes the proof of (4.5).

Next, by (3.19), $d\omega_1 = -\frac{i}{2}c^1_{23}\omega\wedge\bar{\omega}$. Then, from $ac^1_{23} = -6$, (4.10), (3.36) and (4.9), we get

$$-\tfrac{i}{2}c^1_{23}(\tfrac{a}{3} + c^1_{23})\omega\wedge\bar{\omega} = d\rho_1 = i\sigma\wedge\bar{\sigma} = \tfrac{i}{4}[(c^1_{23})^2 - 4\nu_1\bar{\nu}_1]\omega\wedge\bar{\omega},$$

and $4\nu_1\bar{\nu}_1 = 3(c^1_{23})^2 - 4$ follows.

Finally, by using (4.5) and (4.9), the second equation of (3.41) becomes

$$\Omega_{12} + i\Omega_{13} = [1 - \tfrac{1}{2}(c^1_{23})^2]\omega_1\wedge\omega - i\nu_1 c^1_{23}\omega_1\wedge\bar{\omega}.$$

This, compared with (3.22), gives

$$R_{1212} - R_{1313} + 2iR_{1213} = -2i\nu_1 c^1_{23}.$$

On the other hand, noting that $\nu = 0$ and (2.15), from (2.17) we obtain

$$R_{1212} - R_{1313} + 2iR_{1213} = (c^3_{12} - c^2_{31} + 2ic^2_{21})(2c^1_{23} + 2a) = 2i\nu_1(2c^1_{23} + 2a),$$

and then the last equation of (4.6) follows. □

Corollary 4.1. *Let $\varphi : S^3 \to \mathbb{C}P^2$ be an equivariant CR minimal immersion.*

(i) *If $\varphi(S^3)$ is not a Berger sphere, then there is an orthonormal frame $\{\omega_i\}$ such that, in* (4.4)*,*

$$\rho_0 = \omega_1,\quad \rho_1 = -\omega_1,\quad \rho_2 = 0,\quad \sigma = -i\omega - \sqrt{2}\bar{\omega}. \tag{4.11}$$

(ii) *If $\varphi(S^3)$ is a Berger sphere, then there is an orthonormal frame $\{\omega_i\}$ such that, in* (4.4)*,*

$$c^1_{23} = -\tfrac{2}{\sqrt{3}},\ c^2_{31} = c^3_{12} = -\tfrac{8}{\sqrt{3}},\ \rho_0 = \sqrt{3}\omega_1,\ \rho_1 = \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\omega_1,\ \sigma = -\tfrac{i}{\sqrt{3}}\omega. \tag{4.12}$$

Proof. (i) By (4.6) it holds $\nu = 0$, from Proposition 3.1 we know that $\varphi(S^3)$ is not a Berger sphere if and only if $\nu_1 \neq 0$. Then, by the third conclusion of Proposition 3.1, we can take a suitable frame transformation (3.23) such that $c^1_{23} < 0$ and $\nu_1 = |\nu_1| > 0$. It follows from (4.6) that $c^1_{23} = -2$, $a = 3$ and $\nu_1 = \sqrt{2}$. Substituting these into (4.5) we obtain (4.11).

(ii) By replacing X_1 with $-X_1$ if necessary, we may assume that $c^1_{23} < 0$. Then by (4.6) we get $c^1_{23} = -2/\sqrt{3}$ and $a = 3\sqrt{3}$. Put these into (4.5) we get (4.12). □

The following proposition shows that $n = 2$ is very exceptional, only in that case a non-Berger sphere could be admitted.

Proposition 4.2. *Any equivariant CR minimal immersion $\varphi\colon S^3 \to \mathbb{C}P^n$ with $n \geq 3$ must be a Berger sphere.*

Proof. We consider two cases for $n \geq 3$: (a) $\nabla^\perp \xi_0 = 0$; (b) $\nabla^\perp \xi_0 \neq 0$.

(a) If $\nabla^\perp \xi_0 = 0$, then according to Proposition 3.2 and Proposition 4.1, we have $\lambda_\alpha = 0$ and $\sum |\mu_\alpha|^2 > 0$ in (4.3). By re-choosing e_α's in the frame $\{e_0, e_1, e_2, e_\alpha\}$ as stated in Lemma 3.1 we may assume that

$$\theta_{1\alpha} = 0, \quad \theta_{23} = \mu_3\omega, \quad \theta_{24} = \cdots = \theta_{2n} = 0, \tag{4.13}$$

where $\mu_3 \in \mathbb{R}$ is positive. Then by (3.38) we have $\sigma \wedge \omega = 0$, and therefore by (3.16) it holds $\nu = \nu_1 = 0$. It follows that $\varphi(S^3)$ is a Berger spheres (see Proposition 3.1).

(b) Similarly, by re-choosing e_α's of $\{e_0, e_1, e_2, e_\alpha\}$ we may assume that

$$\begin{cases} \theta_{13} = \lambda_3\omega, \quad \theta_{14} = \cdots = \theta_{1n} = 0, \\ \theta_{23} = \lambda_3\omega_1 + \mu_3\omega, \quad \theta_{24} = \mu_4\omega, \quad \theta_{25} = \cdots = \theta_{2n} = 0, \end{cases} \tag{4.14}$$

where the constants $\lambda_3 > 0$, $\mu_4 \geq 0$ (due to Proposition 3.2 where $\sum |\lambda_\alpha|^2 > 0$).

Using (3.35) and (3.38) we have

$$\lambda_3\sigma \wedge \omega_1 + i\lambda_3(\rho_0 - \rho_2) \wedge \omega = d\theta_{13} = i\lambda_3(\rho_1 - \rho_3) \wedge \omega - \sigma \wedge (\lambda_3\omega_1 + \mu_3\omega),$$

where $i\rho_3 = \theta_{33}$. Using(3.16) and comparing the coefficient of $\omega_1 \wedge \bar{\omega}$ on both side of the above equation, we get $\nu_1 = 0$. Thus $c^2_{21} = -c^3_{31} = 0$, $c^2_{31} = c^3_{12}$.

It follows by (3.16) and (4.2) that

$$\omega_{23} = (c^1_{23} + a)\omega_1 - \tfrac{i}{2}\bar{\nu}\omega + \tfrac{i}{2}\nu\bar{\omega}, \quad \sigma = \nu\omega_1 + \tfrac{i}{2}c^1_{23}\omega,$$
$$\rho_1 - \rho_0 = \omega_{23} - a\omega_1 = c^1_{23}\omega_1 - \tfrac{i}{2}\bar{\nu}\omega + \tfrac{i}{2}\nu\bar{\omega}.$$

Substituting these into the second equation of (3.41) and then comparing the coefficient of $\omega_1 \wedge \bar{\omega}$ with that in (3.22), we obtain

$$R_{1212} - R_{1313} + 2iR_{1213} = \nu^2 = (c^1_{12})^2 + (c^1_{13})^2 + 2ic^1_{12}c^1_{13}.$$

On the other hand, from (2.17), and using (3.17) with the fact $\nu_1 = 0$, we get

$$R_{1213} = -2c^1_{12}c^1_{13}, \quad R_{1212} - R_{1313} = 2(c^1_{13})^2 - 2(c^1_{12})^2.$$

This together with the previous equation implies that $c^1_{12} = c^1_{13} = 0$.

Thus $\nu = 0$. As in case (a), $\varphi(S^3) \subset \mathbb{C}P^n$ is a Berger sphere. □

The following lemma is also needed in Sect. 6.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $\varphi\colon S^3 \to \mathbb{C}P^n$ be an equivariant CR minimal immersion with $n \geq 3$. Then, there exist positive real numbers b and c, a normalized frame $\{\omega_i\}$ and a unitary frame $\{e_0, e_A\}$ of $\underline{\mathbb{C}}^{n+1}$ such that $\varphi = [e_0]$ and*

$$c^1_{23} = -2b\sqrt{c}, \quad c^2_{31} = c^3_{12} = -2\sqrt{c}/b, \tag{4.15}$$

$$\begin{cases} de_0 = \frac{i}{b\sqrt{c}}\omega_1 e_0 + \omega_1 e_1 + \omega\, e_2, \\ de_1 = -\omega_1 e_0 + \frac{i(1-2b^2c)}{b\sqrt{c}}\omega_1 e_1 + ib\sqrt{c}\omega\, e_2 + \lambda_3\omega\, e_3, \end{cases} \tag{4.16}$$

where $\lambda_3 = \sqrt{1 - 3b^2c} \geq 0$. Moreover, $\nabla^\perp \xi_0 = 0$ if and only if $\lambda_3 = 0$.

Proof. From the proof of Proposition 4.2, regardless of $\nabla^\perp \xi_0 = 0$ or $\nabla^\perp \xi_0 \neq 0$, we can always choose a unitary frame $\{e_0, e_A\}$ such that $\varphi = [e_0]$ and (4.14) holds.

Proposition 4.2 shows that $\nu = \nu_1 = 0$, which implies that $\{\omega_i\}$ is a normalized frame. Next, following the proof of Corollary 4.1, we may assume $c^1_{23} < 0$. Then, by Lemma 2.3, we see that $c := c^1_{23}c^2_{31}/4$ and $b := -c^1_{23}/(2\sqrt{c})$ are positive real numbers, and so that we have (4.15).

Now, (3.16) and the first equation of (3.19) become

$$\omega_{23} = (c^1_{23} + a)\omega_1, \quad \theta_{12} = -\sigma = ib\sqrt{c}\omega, \quad d\omega_1 = ib\sqrt{c}\omega \wedge \bar{\omega}. \tag{4.17}$$

It follows that, by (3.33) and that d is an isomorphism, $a_0\omega_1 = \rho_0 = \frac{1}{b\sqrt{c}}\omega_1$. Hence, by (4.2), we have $a_1\omega_1 = \rho_1 = \rho_0 + c^1_{23}\omega_1 = \frac{1-2b^2c}{b\sqrt{c}}\omega_1$.

From (4.14), (4.17) and the above, we can use the first equation of (3.36) to conclude that $\lambda_3^2 = 1 - 3b^2c$. Finally, the assertion that $\nabla^\perp\xi_0 = 0$ is equivalent to $\lambda_3 = 0$ follows from (4.16) and (3.32). □

If $n = 2$, then by definition $\nabla^\perp\xi_0 = 0$ is trivially satisfied. Moreover, case (ii) in Corollary 4.1 can be looked as a special case of Lemma 4.2 with $c = 4/3$, $b = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\lambda_3 = 0$. Hence we have the following result.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\varphi\colon S^3 \to \mathbb{C}P^n$ be an equivariant CR minimal immersion with full dimension n. Then φ has to be in one of the following three cases:*

(1) *$n = 2$ and $\varphi(S^3)$ is not a Berger sphere case;*
(2) *$n \geq 2$ and $\varphi(S^3)$ is a Berger sphere with $\nabla^\perp\xi_0 = 0$;*
(3) *$n \geq 3$ and $\varphi(S^3)$ is a Berger sphere with $\nabla^\perp\xi_0 \neq 0$.*

5. Existence of equivariant CR minimal immersions

In this section we show that all the three classes of equivariant CR minimal immersions in Theorem 4.1 do exist. Each of them has an explicit expression in the form of polynomial. Since the round sphere is also a Berger sphere, our results rediscover that of [10] we have mentioned in the introduction.

Let $\{X'_i\}$ be the basis of $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ defined by (2.5), and set $Z' = X'_2 + iX'_3$. Then

$$X'_1 = i\left(z\tfrac{\partial}{\partial z} + w\tfrac{\partial}{\partial w} - \bar{z}\tfrac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} - \bar{w}\tfrac{\partial}{\partial \bar{w}}\right), \quad Z' = -\bar{w}\tfrac{\partial}{\partial z} + \bar{z}\tfrac{\partial}{\partial w}. \tag{5.1}$$

Let $\{\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_n\}$ be the natural basis of $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ and $C^n_\alpha = n!/[\alpha!(n-\alpha)!]$ be the binomial coefficients. Define a $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$-valued function

$$f = f(z, w) := \sum_{\alpha=0}^{n} \sqrt{C^n_\alpha}\, z^{n-\alpha}w^\alpha, \quad (z, w) \in S^3,$$

and then set

$$f_0 := f, \quad f_{-1} = f_{n+1} := 0, \quad f_\alpha := \tfrac{1}{\alpha!\sqrt{C^n_\alpha}} Z'^\alpha f, \quad \alpha = 1, \ldots, n.$$

Applying Lemma 3.1 of [10] and the proof of Lemma 3.3 in [10], we have the following lemma, where $\{\omega'_i\}$ is the dual frame of $\{X'_i\}$ and $\omega' = \omega'_2 + i\omega'_3$.

Lemma 5.1. *For $n \geq 2$, $\{f_0, f_1, \ldots, f_n\}$ is a unitary frame of $\underline{\mathbb{C}}^{n+1}$. Moreover,*

$$df_\alpha = -\sqrt{\alpha(n+1-\alpha)}\bar{\omega}' f_{\alpha-1} + i(n-2\alpha)\omega'_1 f_\alpha + \sqrt{(\alpha+1)(n-\alpha)}\omega' f_{\alpha+1}, \tag{5.2}$$

for $\alpha = 0, 1, \ldots, n$.

5.1. **Existence of equivariant CR minimal non-Berger sphere.**

Define

$$f_0=(z^2,\sqrt{2}zw,w^2),\quad f_1=(-\sqrt{2}z\bar{w},z\bar{z}-w\bar{w},\sqrt{2}w\bar{z}),\quad f_2=(\bar{w}^2,-\sqrt{2}\bar{z}\bar{w},\bar{z}^2).$$

By Lemma 5.1, $\{f_0,f_1,f_2\}$ is a unitary frame of $\underline{\mathbb{C}}^3$. Moreover, it holds that

$$df_0=2i\omega_1' f_0+\sqrt{2}\omega' f_1,\ df_1=-\sqrt{2}\bar{\omega}' f_0+\sqrt{2}\omega' f_2,\ df_2=-\sqrt{2}\bar{\omega}' f_1-2i\omega_1' f_2. \tag{5.3}$$

Now, we define $e_0\colon S^3\to\mathbb{C}^3$ by

$$e_0=\cos\tfrac{\pi}{8}f_0+i\sin\tfrac{\pi}{8}f_2=\cos\tfrac{\pi}{8}(z^2,\sqrt{2}zw,w^2)+i\sin\tfrac{\pi}{8}(\bar{w}^2,-\sqrt{2}\bar{z}\bar{w},\bar{z}^2). \tag{5.4}$$

Proposition 5.1. *The $\mathbb{C}^3$-valued function e_0, given by* (5.4)*, defines an equivariant CR minimal immersion $\varphi=[e_0]\colon S^3\to\mathbb{C}P^2$, which is not a Berger sphere.*

Proof. Let $t=\pi/8$ and that

$$e_1=i\sin t\, f_0+\cos t\, f_2,\quad e_2=e^{-it}f_1. \tag{5.5}$$

Then, by virtue of Lemma 5.1, $\{e_0,e_1,e_2\}$ is a unitary frame of $\underline{\mathbb{C}}^3$. Solving (5.4) and (5.5), we get

$$f_0=\cos t\, e_0-i\sin t\, e_1,\quad f_1=e^{it}e_2,\quad f_2=-i\sin t\, e_0+\cos t\, e_1.$$

Using (5.3)–(5.5), and noting that $\sqrt{2}e^{2it}=1+i$, we have

$$\begin{cases} de_0=i\,\omega_1 e_0+\omega_1 e_1+\omega e_2,\\ de_1=-\omega_1 e_0-i\omega_1 e_1-(\omega_2+2\omega_3-i\omega_3)e_2,\\ de_2=-\bar{\omega}\, e_0+(\omega_2+2\omega_3+i\omega_3)e_1, \end{cases} \tag{5.6}$$

where $\omega_1=\sqrt{2}\omega_1'$, $\omega_2=\sqrt{2}\omega_2'-\omega_3'$, $\omega_3=\omega_3'$, $\omega=\omega_2+i\omega_3$.

Then, we see by Proposition 4.2 of [10] that φ is an equivariant immersion, with induced metric $ds^2=\sum\omega_i^2$ and an orthonormal frame $\{\omega_i\}$.

From (5.6) we know that $-\varphi^*\Omega=\frac{i}{2}\omega\wedge\bar{\omega}=\omega_2\wedge\omega_3$. Thus, φ is of CR type.

Comparing (3.7) with (5.6), and noting that $\omega_{12}+i\omega_{13}=\sigma=-\theta_{12}$, we have

$$\rho_0=\omega_1=-\rho_1,\quad \omega_{12}=\omega_2+2\omega_3,\quad \omega_{13}=-\omega_3.$$

Then the minimality condition (4.1) is satisfied and thus φ is minimal.

Finally, as $\omega\wedge\sigma=\sqrt{2}e^{2it}\omega\wedge\bar{\omega}\neq 0$, by (3.16), (3.17) and Proposition 3.1, we see that (S^3,ds^2) is not a Berger sphere. □

5.2. **Existence of equivariant CR minimal Berger sphere.**

In this subsection, we agree with the following range of indices

$$\alpha,\beta,\ldots=0,1,\ldots,k;\quad \alpha',\beta',\ldots=0,1,\ldots,\ell,$$

whereas the indices A,B,i,j having the convention as before.

Let k,ℓ be two integers with $k>\ell\geq 0$. Suppose $\{\varepsilon_0,\ldots,\varepsilon_k,\varepsilon_0',\ldots,\varepsilon_\ell'\}$ is the natural basis of $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}=\mathbb{C}^{k+1}\oplus\mathbb{C}^{\ell+1}$ with $n=k+\ell+1$ and $\varepsilon_{\alpha'}'=\varepsilon_{k+1+\alpha'}$.

Define two functions $f\colon S^3\to\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ and $h\colon S^3\to\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ by

$$f(z,w)=\sum\sqrt{C_\alpha^k}z^{k-\alpha}w^\alpha\varepsilon_\alpha,\quad h(z,w)=\sum\sqrt{C_{\alpha'}^\ell}z^{\ell-\alpha'}w^{\alpha'}\varepsilon_{\alpha'}'.$$

According to Lemma 5.1, $\{f_0,f_1,\ldots,f_k,h_0,\ldots,h_\ell\}$ is a unitary frame of $\underline{\mathbb{C}}^{n+1}$.

For $t\in(0,\pi/2)$, we define a $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$-valued function e_0 by

$$e_0:=e_0(t)=\cos t\ f_0+i\sin t\ h_0. \tag{5.7}$$

Proposition 5.2. *For each $t \in (0, \pi/2)$, the function e_0, defined by (5.7), defines an equivariant CR immersion $\varphi = [e_0]\colon S^3 \to \mathbb{C}P^n$ with the following properties:*

(i) *(S^3, ds^2) is a Berger sphere;*

(ii) *$\nabla^\perp \xi_0 = 0$ if and only if $\ell = 0$;*

(iii) *φ is minimal if and only if t satisfies that*

$$\tan^2 t = 2k/\big[3(k-\ell) + \sqrt{(k+\ell)^2 + 8(k-\ell)^2}\big]. \tag{5.8}$$

Proof. There is unique $t_1 \in [0, \pi/2)$ such that

$$\cos t_1 = \frac{\sqrt{k}\cos t}{\sqrt{k\cos^2 t + \ell \sin^2 t}}, \quad \sin t_1 = \frac{\sqrt{\ell}\sin t}{\sqrt{k\cos^2 t + \ell \sin^2 t}}. \tag{5.9}$$

Now we take

$$\begin{cases} e_1 = i\sin t\, f_0 + \cos t\, h_0, \quad e_2 = \cos t_1 f_1 + i \sin t_1 h_1, \\ e_3 = i \sin t_1 f_1 + \cos t_1 h_1, \quad e_4 = f_2, \quad e_5 = h_2, \\ e_6 = f_3, \ \ldots, \ e_{k+3} = f_k, \quad e_{k+4} = h_3, \ \ldots, \ e_n = h_\ell. \end{cases} \tag{5.10}$$

Then $\{e_0, e_A\}$ is a unitary frame of $\underline{\mathbb{C}}^{n+1}$ due to that $\{f_\alpha, h_{\alpha'}\}$ is unitary.

From similar expressions as (5.2) we can see that $\langle df_\alpha, \bar{f}_\beta\rangle$, $\langle dh_{\alpha'}, \bar{h}_{\beta'}\rangle$ are all left-invariant one-forms, and that $\langle df_\alpha, \bar{h}_{\alpha'}\rangle = \langle dh_{\alpha'}, \bar{f}_\alpha\rangle = 0$. It follows that $\langle de_0, \bar{e}_0\rangle$, $\langle de_0, \bar{e}_A\rangle$, $\langle de_A, \bar{e}_B\rangle \in \mathfrak{su}(2)^* \otimes \mathbb{C}$. Therefore, φ is equivariant as before.

From (5.7) and (5.10), with the use of (5.2) and (5.9), we can easily verify that

$$de_0 = \cos t\, df_0 + i \sin t\, dh_0 = i a_0' \omega_1' e_0 + m \sin 2t\, \omega_1' e_1 + \sqrt{a_0'}\, \omega' e_2, \tag{5.11}$$

where

$$a_0' = k\cos^2 t + \ell \sin^2 t > 0, \quad m = \tfrac{1}{2}(k-\ell) > 0. \tag{5.12}$$

From (5.11), we see that φ is an immersion with induce metric

$$ds^2 = m^2 \sin^2 2t\, \omega_1'^2 + a_0'(\omega_2'^2 + \omega_3'^2). \tag{5.13}$$

Obviously, ds^2 is a Berger metric with $c = 1/a_0'$ and $b = m\sqrt{c}\sin 2t$ in (2.21).

Let $\{\omega_i\}$ be an orthonormal frame with respect to ds^2, where $\omega_1 = m \sin 2t\, \omega_1'$, $\omega = \omega_2 + i\omega_3 = \sqrt{a_0'}\, \omega'$. Then (5.11) can be rewritten as

$$de_0 = i\rho_0 e_0 + \omega_1 e_1 + \omega\, e_2, \quad \rho_0 = \frac{k\cos^2 t + \ell \sin^2 t}{m \sin 2t}\, \omega_1. \tag{5.14}$$

It follows that $-\varphi^*\Omega = (i/2)\omega \wedge \bar{\omega} = \omega_2 \wedge \omega_3$. Thus φ is of CR type.

Similarly, using (5.2), (5.9) and $de_1 = i\sin t\, df_0 + \cos t\, dh_0$, we can verify that

$$de_1 = -\omega_1 e_0 + i\rho_1 e_1 + \frac{im\sin 2t}{k\cos^2 t + \ell \sin^2 t}\, \omega\, e_2 + \lambda_3 \omega\, e_3, \tag{5.15}$$

where

$$\rho_1 = \frac{k\sin^2 t + \ell \cos^2 t}{m \sin 2t}\, \omega_1, \quad \lambda_3 = \frac{\sqrt{k\ell}}{k\cos^2 t + \ell \sin^2 t}. \tag{5.16}$$

Then, applying Proposition 3.2, we easily see that $\nabla^\perp \xi_0 = 0$ if and only if $\ell = 0$.

Finally, in the same way we get

$$\begin{aligned} de_2 = {} & -\bar{\omega}\, e_0 + \frac{im\sin 2t}{k\sin^2 t + \ell \cos^2 t}\bar{\omega}\, e_1 + i(k\sin^2 t_1 + \ell \cos^2 t_1 - 2)\omega_1' e_2 \\ & + \lambda_3 \omega_1 e_3 + \mu_4 \omega\, e_4 + i\mu_5 \omega\, e_5, \end{aligned} \tag{5.17}$$

where $\mu_4 = \sqrt{2k(k-1)}/a_0'$, $\mu_5 = \sqrt{2\ell(\ell-1)}/a_0'$ (Note: $\mu_5 = 0$ if $\ell = 0$).

From (5.15) and (5.17) we see that the second equation in (4.1) is satisfied. By using (5.14), (5.15) and (5.16), we get

$$\rho_1 - \rho_0 = -2\cot 2t\, \omega_1, \quad \omega_{12} + i\omega_{13} = -\theta_{12} = \frac{m\sin 2t}{k\cos^2 t + \ell \sin^2 t}(\omega_3 - i\omega_2).$$

Therefore, by (4.1), φ is minimal if and only if

$$\cot 2t = \frac{m\sin 2t}{k\cos^2 t + \ell \sin^2 t} = \frac{2m \sin 2t}{(n-1) + 2m\cos 2t}. \tag{5.18}$$

This leads to

$$\cos 2t = [\sqrt{(n-1)^2+32m^2}-(n-1)]/(8m). \tag{5.19}$$

Then, noting that $k-\ell=2m$ and $k+\ell=n-1$, we can easily get (5.8).

We have completes the proof of Proposition 5.2. □

In the special case that $\ell=0$ and $k=n-1$, by (5.8) we have $t=\pi/6$ and (5.7) becomes

$$e_0=\tfrac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\sum\sqrt{C_\alpha^{n-1}}z^{n-1-\alpha}w^\alpha\varepsilon_\alpha+\tfrac{i}{2}\varepsilon_n. \tag{5.20}$$

From Proposition 5.2 and its proof we have the following

Corollary 5.1. *The function e_0, determined by (5.20), defines an equivariant CR minimal immersion $\varphi=[e_0]\colon S^3\to\mathbb{C}P^n$, which induces a Berger metric ds^2. Moreover, it satisfies $\nabla^\perp\xi_0=0$.*

Remark 5.1. (a) The particular case $e_0=\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}(z,w,0)+\frac{i}{2}(0,0,1)$, which corresponds to $n=2$ and $t=\pi/6$, is exactly the case (ii) of Corollary 4.1.

(b) From (5.13) one can see that the induced metric has constant curvature c if and only if $\frac{1}{c}=m^2\sin^2 2t=k\cos^2 t+\ell\sin^2 t=\frac{n-1}{2}+m\cos 2t$. This, by (5.18), is equivalent to $\cot 2t=\sqrt{c}$. If it does occur, then $m\cos 2t=1$, $n=1/(2m^2-3)$ and $c=1/(m^2-1)$ for some $m\geq 2$. We then recover Theorem 6.1 in [10].

(c) The function $e_0=e_0(t)$ in (5.7) depends on the parameter t. For a fixed pair k,ℓ with $k>\ell\geq 0$ there is a family of equivariant CR immersion $\{\varphi_t=[e_0(t)]\,|\,t\in(0,\pi/2)\}$. Each of them induces a Berger metric. However, among them only one is minimal by (5.8). Moreover, for fixed $n\geq 2$ there are $[n/2]$ such families, given by $\ell=0,\ldots,[n/2]-1$. Here, $[n/2]$ denotes the largest integer not exceeding $n/2$.

6. Uniqueness of equivariant CR minimal immersions

Recall that two immersions $\psi_1,\psi_2\colon S^3\to\mathbb{C}P^n$ are said to be equivalent, denoted by $\psi_1\sim\psi_2$, if there exists a holomorphic isometry $A\colon\mathbb{C}P^n\to\mathbb{C}P^n$ such that $\psi_1=A\circ\psi_2$.

For simplicity, we denote by φ_1, φ_2 and φ_3 the three equivariant CR minimal immersions determined by (5.4), (5.20) and (5.7) (with (5.8) and $\ell>0$ as additional conditions), respectively. Let X_1', Z' be the differential operators as defined by (5.1).

First of all, we introduce Lemma 3.3 in [10] as below.

Lemma 6.1 ([10])**.** *Let f be a $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$-valued function defined on S^3. If it satisfies $\langle f,\bar f\rangle=1, \bar Z' f=0, X_1' f=isf$, then s is a nonnegative integer with $s\leq n$. Moreover, there is a unitary basis $\{\varepsilon_0,\ldots,\varepsilon_s,\varepsilon_{s+1},\ldots,\varepsilon_n\}$ of $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ such that*

$$f(z,w)=\sum_{\alpha=0}^{s}\sqrt{C_\alpha^s}z^{s-\alpha}w^\alpha\varepsilon_\alpha,\quad (z,w)\in S^3.$$

Next, we will prove two uniqueness theorems based on preceding Theorem 4.1. For that purpose, in sequel we assume that $\varphi\colon S^3\to\mathbb{C}P^n$ is an equivariant CR minimal immersion with full dimension n.

Theorem 6.1. *If $\varphi(S^3)$ is not a Berger sphere, then up to an inner automorphism of $SU(2)$ we have $\varphi\sim\varphi_1$.*

Proof. According to Proposition 4.2, we have $n = 2$. Moreover, by Theorem 4.1 and Corollary 4.1, we have a unitary frame $\{e_0, e_1, e_2\}$ of $\underline{\mathbb{C}}^3$ such that $\varphi = [e_0]$ and

$$\begin{cases} de_0 = i\omega_1 e_0 + \omega_1 e_1 + \omega e_2, \\ de_1 = -\omega_1 e_0 - i\omega_1 e_1 + (i\omega + \sqrt{2}\bar{\omega})e_2, \\ de_2 = -\bar{\omega} e_0 - (\sqrt{2}\omega - i\bar{\omega})e_1. \end{cases} \tag{6.1}$$

Now, we take another unitary frame $\{\tilde{e}_0, \tilde{e}_1, \tilde{e}_2\}$ of $\underline{\mathbb{C}}^3$ by

$$\tilde{e}_0 = \cos\tfrac{\pi}{8}\, e_0 - i\sin\tfrac{\pi}{8}\, e_1, \quad \tilde{e}_1 = -i\sin\tfrac{\pi}{8}\, e_0 + \cos\tfrac{\pi}{8}\, e_1, \quad \tilde{e}_2 = e_2, \tag{6.2}$$

or, equivalently,

$$e_0 = \cos\tfrac{\pi}{8}\, \tilde{e}_0 + i\sin\tfrac{\pi}{8}\, \tilde{e}_1, \quad e_1 = i\sin\tfrac{\pi}{8}\, \tilde{e}_0 + \cos\tfrac{\pi}{8}\, \tilde{e}_1, \quad e_2 = \tilde{e}_2. \tag{6.3}$$

By setting $\omega_1' = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\omega_1$, $\omega' = \omega_2' + i\omega_3' = \cos\frac{\pi}{8}\omega - i\sin\frac{\pi}{8}\bar{\omega}$, or equivalently,

$$\omega_1' = \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\omega_1, \quad \omega_2' = \cos\tfrac{\pi}{8}\,\omega_2 - \sin\tfrac{\pi}{8}\,\omega_3, \quad \omega_3' = -\sin\tfrac{\pi}{8}\,\omega_2 + \cos\tfrac{\pi}{8}\,\omega_3,$$

then, using that $\cos\frac{\pi}{8} + \sin\frac{\pi}{8} = \sqrt{2}\cos\frac{\pi}{8}$ and $\cos\frac{\pi}{8} - \sin\frac{\pi}{8} = \sqrt{2}\sin\frac{\pi}{8}$, we obtain $2\omega_1' \wedge \omega_2' \wedge \omega_3' = \omega_1 \wedge \omega_2 \wedge \omega_3$. This shows that $\{\omega_1', \omega_2', \omega_3'\}$ is a basis of $\mathfrak{su}(2)^*$.

Next, direct calculations show that

$$\begin{cases} d\tilde{e}_0 = 2i\omega_1'\tilde{e}_0 + \sqrt{2}\omega'\tilde{e}_2, \\ d\tilde{e}_1 = -\,2i\omega_1'\tilde{e}_1 \,-\sqrt{2}\bar{\omega}'\tilde{e}_2, \\ d\tilde{e}_2 = -\sqrt{2}\bar{\omega}'\tilde{e}_0 + \sqrt{2}\omega'\tilde{e}_1. \end{cases} \tag{6.4}$$

On the other hand, exterior differentiations of (6.3) give that $d\omega_1' = i\omega' \wedge \bar{\omega}'$, $d\omega' = 2i\omega_1' \wedge \omega'$. Hence $\{\omega_i'\}$ satisfies (2.3). By Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2, up to an inner automorphism of $SU(2)$ we may assume that the dual frame $\{X_i'\}$ of $\{\omega_i'\}$ is defined by (2.5), or equivalently by (5.1). Then we have

$$\bar{Z}'\tilde{e}_0 = 0, \quad X_1'\tilde{e}_0 = 2i\tilde{e}_0, \quad Z'\tilde{e}_0 = \sqrt{2}\tilde{e}_2, \quad Z'\tilde{e}_2 = \sqrt{2}\tilde{e}_1. \tag{6.5}$$

Using Lemma 6.1 we then have

$$\tilde{e}_0 = z^2\varepsilon_0 + \sqrt{2}zw\varepsilon_1 + w^2\varepsilon_2, \quad (z,w) \in S^3,$$

where $\{\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2\}$ is a unitary basis of $\mathbb{C}^3$. By re-choosing a basis of $\mathbb{C}^3$, or equivalently by a holomorphic isometry $A\colon \mathbb{C}^3 \to \mathbb{C}^3$, we may set

$$\tilde{e}_0 = \big(z^2, \sqrt{2}zw, w^2\big).$$

Then, by (6.5), we have

$$\tilde{e}_2 = \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}Z'\tilde{e}_0 = (-\sqrt{2}z\bar{w}, z\bar{z} - w\bar{w}, \sqrt{2}w\bar{z}), \ \tilde{e}_1 = \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}Z'\tilde{e}_2 = (\bar{w}^2, -\sqrt{2}\bar{z}\bar{w}, \bar{z}^2).$$

It follows from (6.3) that

$$e_0 = \cos\tfrac{\pi}{8}\,(z^2, \sqrt{2}zw, w^2) + i\sin\tfrac{\pi}{8}\,(\bar{w}^2, -\sqrt{2}\bar{z}\bar{w}, \bar{z}^2).$$

This is just (5.4). □

Theorem 6.2. *If $\varphi(S^3)$ is a Berger sphere with $\nabla^\perp\xi_0 = 0$ (resp. with $\nabla^\perp\xi_0 \neq 0$), then, up to an inner automorphism of $SU(2)$, we have $\varphi \sim \varphi_2$ (resp. $\varphi \sim \varphi_3$).*

Proof. According to Lemma 4.2 and Corollary 4.1, regardless of $n = 2$ or $n \geq 3$, we have a normalized frame $\{\omega_i\}$ and a unitary frame $\{e_0, e_A\}$ such that $\varphi = [e_0]$ and

$$d\omega_1 = 2b\sqrt{c}\,\omega_2 \wedge \omega_3, \quad d\omega_2 = \tfrac{2\sqrt{c}}{b}\omega_3 \wedge \omega_1, \quad d\omega_3 = \tfrac{2\sqrt{c}}{b}\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2; \tag{6.6}$$

$$\begin{cases} de_0 = \frac{i}{b\sqrt{c}}\omega_1 e_0 + \omega_1 e_1 + \omega\, e_2, \\ de_1 = -\,\omega_1 e_0 + \frac{i(1-2b^2c)}{b\sqrt{c}}\omega_1 e_1 + ib\sqrt{c}\omega\, e_2 \,+ \lambda_3\omega\, e_3, \end{cases} \tag{6.7}$$

where b, c are positive real number, $\lambda_3 = \sqrt{1-3b^2c} \geq 0$. Moreover, $\lambda_3 = 0$ is equivalent to $\nabla^\perp \xi_0 = 0$. If $n = 2$, then from (4.12) we know that $b = \frac{1}{2}$, $c = \frac{4}{3}$.

If we set

$$\omega_1' = \tfrac{\sqrt{c}}{b}\,\omega_1, \quad \omega_2' = \sqrt{c}\,\omega_2, \quad \omega_3' = \sqrt{c}\,\omega_3, \tag{6.8}$$

then $\{\omega_i'\}$ is a basis of $\mathfrak{su}(2)^*$. Using (6.6) we see that $\{\omega_i'\}$ satisfy (2.3). As before, up to an inner automorphism of $SU(2)$, we may assume that the dual frame $\{X_i'\}$ of $\{\omega_i'\}$ is defined by (5.1).

From (6.7) and (6.8) we get

$$\begin{cases} de_0 = \frac{i}{c}\omega_1' e_0 + \frac{b}{\sqrt{c}}\omega_1' e_1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{c}}\omega' e_2, \\ de_1 = -\,\frac{b}{\sqrt{c}}\omega_1' e_0 + \frac{i(1-2b^2c)}{c}\omega_1' e_1 + ib\omega' e_2 \,+ \frac{\lambda_3}{\sqrt{c}}\,\omega' e_3, \end{cases} \tag{6.9}$$

where $\omega' = \omega_2' + i\omega_3'$. Hence we have

$$\bar{Z}' e_0 = 0, \quad \bar{Z}' e_1 = 0, \tag{6.10}$$

$$X_1' e_0 = ic^{-1} e_0 + bc^{-1/2} e_1, \quad X_1' e_1 = bc^{-1/2} e_0 + i(c^{-1} - 2b^2) e_1. \tag{6.11}$$

Let V be the subbundle of $\underline{\mathbb{C}}^{n+1}$ spanned by $\{e_0, e_1\}$. Then (6.11) defines an endomorphism $X_1 \colon V \to V$. It is skew-Hermitian, and therefore has two imaginary eigenvalues $ik, i\ell$ such that

$$k = c^{-1} - b^2 + b\sqrt{c^{-1}+b^2}, \quad \ell = c^{-1} - b^2 - b\sqrt{c^{-1}+b^2}. \tag{6.12}$$

Since $k > c^{-1} > 0$ and $k\ell = c^{-2}(1-3b^2c) \geq 0$, we see $k > \ell \geq 0$, and $\ell = 0$ if and only if $\lambda_3 = 0$, or equivalently, $\nabla^\perp \xi_0 = 0$.

It is well known that there is a unitary frame $\{f, h\}$ of V such that

$$X_1' f = ik\, f, \quad X_1' h = i\ell\, h. \tag{6.13}$$

Since by theory of linear algebra $(X_1' - ik\, I)(X_1' - i\ell\, I) = 0$, and, by (6.11) and (6.12), we have

$$(X_1' - i\ell\, I)e_0 = ib[(b + \sqrt{c^{-1}+b^2})e_0 - ic^{-1/2} e_1],$$
$$(X_1' - ik\, I)e_0 = b[-i(\sqrt{c^{-1}+b^2} - b)e_0 + c^{-1/2} e_1].$$

We may choose $\{f, h\}$ such that

$$f = \cos t\, e_0 - i \sin t\, e_1, \quad h = -i \sin t\, e_0 + \cos t\, e_1, \tag{6.14}$$

where $t \in (0, \pi/2)$ is determined by

$$\tan t = c^{-1/2}/(b + \sqrt{c^{-1}+b^2}) = \sqrt{1+b^2c} - b\sqrt{c}. \tag{6.15}$$

Then, $|f| = |h| = 1$, $\langle f, \bar{h}\rangle = 0$. Moreover, by (6.10) and (6.13), we get

$$\bar{Z}' f = \bar{Z}' h = 0, \quad X_1' f = ik\, f, \quad X_1' h = i\ell\, h.$$

Since n is the full dimension, applying Lemma 6.1 we see that k, ℓ are integers with $k > \ell \geq 0$ and $k + \ell = n - 1$. Moreover, there is a unitary basis $\{\varepsilon_0, \ldots, \varepsilon_k, \varepsilon_0', \ldots, \varepsilon_\ell'\}$ of $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ such that

$$f = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{k} \sqrt{C_\alpha^k}\, z^{k-\alpha} w^\alpha \varepsilon_\alpha, \quad h = \sum_{\alpha'=0}^{\ell} \sqrt{C_{\alpha'}^\ell}\, z^{\ell-\alpha'} w^{\alpha'} \varepsilon_{\alpha'}'.$$

Thus, by (6.14), we can solve e_0 to obtain that

$$e_0 = \cos t \sum_{\alpha=0}^{k} \sqrt{C_\alpha^k} z^{k-\alpha} w^\alpha \varepsilon_\alpha + i \sin t \sum_{\alpha'=0}^{\ell} \sqrt{C_{\alpha'}^\ell} z^{\ell-\alpha'} w^{\alpha'} \varepsilon'_{\alpha'}. \tag{6.16}$$

If $\nabla^\perp \xi_0 = 0$, then $\lambda_3 = 0$ and thus $b = 1/\sqrt{3c}$. Hence $\ell = 0$, $t = \pi/6$. Then $\varphi \sim \varphi_2$, due to that (6.16) differs from (5.20) by a holomorphic isometry of $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$.

If $\nabla^\perp \xi_0 \neq 0$, then, by (6.12), $k > \ell > 0$. Since φ is minimal, according to (c) of Remark 5.1, the parameter t determined by (6.15) has to be that one determined by (5.8). Then, using (6.12) and (6.15), one gets

$$(2k)/[3(k-\ell) + \sqrt{(k+\ell)^2 + 8(k-\ell)^2}] = 1 + 2b^2 c - 2bc\sqrt{c^{-1} + b^2} = \tan^2 t.$$

Now, by comparing (6.16) with (5.7), we come to the conclusion $\varphi \sim \varphi_3$. □

References

[1] Bejancu, A.: *Gemetry of CR-submanifolds*, D. Reidel Publishing Company, Dordrecht, 1986.

[2] Bolton, J., Jensen, G. R., Rigoli, M., Woodward, L. M.: *On conformal minimal immersions of $\mathbb{S}^2$ into $\mathbb{C}P^n$*, Math. Ann. **279**, 599-620 (1988).

[3] Chen, B.-Y., Dillen, F., Verstraelen, L., Vrancken, L.: *An exotic totally real minimal immersions of S^3 in $\mathbb{C}P^3$ and its characterization*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh, Sect. A, **126**, 153-165 (1996).

[4] Chen, B.-Y., Dillen, F., Verstraelen, L., Vrancken, L.: *Lagrangian isometric immersions of a real space form $M^n(c)$ into complex space form $\widetilde{M}^n(c)$*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **124**, 107-125 (1998).

[5] Dillen, F., Li, H., Vrancken, L., Wang, X.: *Lagrangian submanifolds in complex projective space with parallel second fundamental form*, Pacific J. Math. **255**, 79-115 (2012).

[6] Fei, J., Peng, C.-K., Xu, X.-W.: *Equivariant totally real 3-spheres in the complex projective space $\mathbb{C}P^n$*, Diff. Geom. Appl. **30**, 262-273 (2012).

[7] Hu, S., Li, K.: *The minimal S^3 with constant sectional curvature in $\mathbb{C}P^n$*, J. Aust. Math. Soc. **99**, 63-75 (2015).

[8] Hu, Z., Lyu, D.-L. Wang, J.: *On rigidity phenomena of compact surfaces in homogeneous 3-manifolds*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **143**, 3097-3109 (2015).

[9] Jenson, G.-R., Liao, R.: *Families of flat minimal tori in $\mathbb{C}P^n$*, J. Diff. Geom. **42**, 113-132 (1995).

[10] Li, Z.-Q.: *Minimal S^3 with constant curvature in $\mathbb{C}P^n$*, J. London Math. Soc. **68**, 223-240 (2003).

[11] Li, Z.-Q., Huang, A.-M.: *Constant curved minimal CR 3-spheres in $\mathbb{C}P^n$*, J. Aust. Math. Soc. **79**, 1-10 (2005).

[12] Li, Z.-Q., Peng, J.-W.: *Rigidity of 3-dimensional minimal CR-submanifolds with constant curvature in $\mathbb{C}P^n$*, Far East J. Math. Sci. **34**, 303-315 (2009).

[13] Li, Z.-Q. Tao, Y.-Q.: *Equivraiant Lagrangian minimal $\mathbb{S}^3$ in $\mathbb{C}P^3$*, Acta Math. Sinica (Engl. Ser.) **22**, 1215-1220 (2006).

[14] Li, H., Vrancken, L., Wang, X.: *A new characterizaiton of the Berger sphere in complex projective space*, J. Geom. Phys., **92**, 129-139 (2015).

[15] Mashimo, K.: *Minimal immersions of 3-dimensional sphere into spheres*, Osaka J. Math. **21**, 721-732 (1984).

[16] Milnor, J.: *Curvatures of left invariant metrics on Lie groups*, Adv. Math., **21**, 293-329 (1976).

[17] Naitoh, H.: *Isotropic submanifolds with parallel second fundamental form in $P^m(c)$*, Osaka J. Math. **18**, 427-464 (1981).

[18] Naitoh, H.: *Totally real parallel submanifolds in $P^n(c)$*, Tokyo J. Math. **4**, 279-306 (1981).

[19] Naitoh, H.: *Parallel submanifolds of complex space forms*, I, Nagoya Math. J. **90** (1983), 85-117; II, ibid, **91** (1983), 119-149.

[20] Ogiue, K.: *Differential geometry of Käehler submanifolds*, Adv. Math., **13**, 73-114 (1974).

[21] Torralbo, F.: *Compact minimal surfaces in the Berger spheres*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **41**, 391-405 (2012).

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou 450001, People's Republic of China

E-mail address: huzj@zzu.edu.cn; welcomeyjb@163.com

Department of Mathematics, Nanchang University, Nanchang 330047, People's Republic of China

E-mail address: zhenqili@263.net